

10-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN 2024-2033

Page is left intentionally blank.

Page is left intentionally blank.

4 | UTA 10-Year Capital Plan

Contents

| Chapter 1 Purpose of This Plan | 6 |
|--|----|
| Chapter 2 10-Year Capital Plan Development Process | 8 |
| Chapter 3 10-Year Capital Plan Program Pages | 10 |
| 1 Customer Experience | 12 |
| 2 Facilities, Real Estate & Transit-Oriented Development | 13 |
| 3 Finance | 15 |
| 4 Information Technology | 17 |
| 5 Innovative Mobility | 19 |
| 6 Non-Revenue Vehicles | 21 |
| 7 Operations | 22 |
| 8 Revenue Vehicles | 23 |
| 9 Safety & Security | 25 |
| 10 Studies & Programmatic Efforts | 26 |
| 11 Systems | 27 |
| 12 Track, Bus Lane & Bridge Rehabilitation | 28 |
| 13 Transit Centers | 29 |
| Chapter 4 UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 Program Pages (2023-2032) | 33 |
| 14 Enhanced Bus | 34 |
| 15 Bus Rapid Transit | 35 |
| 16 Light Rail | 36 |
| 17 FrontRunner (UTA) | 37 |
| 18 FrontRunner (UDOT) | 38 |
| Limited Definition Projects | 39 |
| Chapter 5 UTA Budget and Capital Expenses | 42 |
| Chapter 6 Next Steps | 45 |

Chapter 1

Purpose of This Plan

The 2024-2033 10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) is a road map that identifies major capital investments that support UTA's Mission, Vision, and Strategic Priorities and guide UTA's actions into the future.

UTA has proposed ambitious goals as part of its Strategic Priorities, and achieving these will require prompt coordination within UTA and with external stakeholders, supported by deliberate, and well-informed decision making.

UTA initiated this process by launching long-term planning efforts, including its first Long-Range Transit Plan, called **UTA Moves 2050**, and this 10YCP.

UTA'S VISION STATEMENT & STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

Vision Statement Leading Utah's mobility solutions and improving quality of life



Moving Utahns to a Better Quality of Life

70% of Utah's population (and 75% of UTA's service area) resides within one-half mile of UTA's transit service, and the carbon footprint of UTA vehicles and facilities is reduced by 25%



Exceeding Customer Expectations

Achieve a 45% increase in UTA's Net Promoter Score (How likely would you be to recommend UTA to your friends and family?)



Achieving Organizational Excellence Receive industry recognition for operating a dynamic and forwardthinking public enterprise



Building Community Support

More than 100 actively engaged formal alliances and affinity groups telling their stories in ways that influence transitfriendly outcomes

\$

Generating Critical Economic Return

Communities across the region and state recognize the economic value and positive return on investment that UTA provides statewide

10YCP TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAM COSTS

| The 10YCP provides an |
|-----------------------------|
| opportunity for cross- |
| agency review of plans to |
| replace, renew, improve, |
| expand, and acquire capital |
| assets and to collaborate |
| on overlapping needs |
| or shared interests. |

Updated every two years, the 10YCP is financially unconstrained but reflects the most current understanding of UTA's projected needs and progress within the 10-year horizon.

Information from the **Five**-**Year Capital Plan (5YCP)**

remains unchanged, with modifications reflected in the years 2029-2033 of the 10YCP.

Parallel efforts focus on documenting projects for system expansion. This involves working with managers to refine costs for <u>UTA Moves 2050</u> <u>Phase 1 Project Sheets</u> and identify impacts on capital requirements.

| | 5YCP Fiscally Constrain | ed Fisc | 10YCP cally Unconstrai |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| By Program | FY 2024-2028 | FY 2029-2033 | FY 2024-2033 |
| 1 Customer Experience | \$11,777,000 | \$15,788,447 | \$27,565,447 |
| 2 Facilities, Real Estate, TOD | \$83,636,000 | \$894,595,676 | \$978,231,676 |
| ³ Finance | \$47,202,000 | \$52,162,354 | \$99,364,354 |
| 4 Information Technology | \$69,521,000 | \$19,857,000 | \$89,378,000 |
| 5 Innovative Mobility | \$17,526,000 | \$34,060,000 | \$51,586,000 |
| 6 Non-Revenue Fleet | \$23,330,000 | \$34,409,807 | \$57,739,807 |
| 7 Operations | \$28,850,000 | \$53,565,631 | \$82,415,631 |
| 8 Revenue Vehicles | \$519,375,000 | \$582,986,273 | \$1,102,361,273 |
| Revenue Vehicles: Bus and Paratransit | \$171,550,000 | \$196,986,273 | \$368,536,273 |
| Revenue Vehicles: Light Rail | \$292,125,000 | \$281,000,000 | \$573,125,000 |
| Revenue Vehicles: FrontRunner | \$55,700,000 | \$105,000,000 | \$160,700,000 |
| Safety and Security | \$11,881,000 | \$4,635,236 | \$16,516,236 |
| 10 Studies and Programmatic Efforts | \$19,100,000 | \$3,243,316 | \$22,343,316 |
| 11 Systems | \$174,116,000 | \$336,175,000 | \$510,291,000 |
| 12 Track, Bus Lanes, and Bridge Rehabilitation | \$43,467,000 | \$29,500,000 | \$72,967,000 |
| 13 Transit Centers | \$20,494,000 | \$335,200,000 | \$355,694,000 |
| UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 | FY 2024-2028 | FY 2029-2033 | FY 2024-2033 |
| 14 Enhanced Bus | \$47,383,000 | \$262,997,600 | \$310,380,600 |
| 15 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) | \$109,757,000 | \$654,000,000 | \$763,757,000 |
| ¹⁶ Light Rail | \$26,996,000 | \$444,693,316 | \$486,689,316 |
| 17 FrontRunner UTA | \$40,080,000 | \$4,700,000,000 | \$4,740,080,000 |
| 18 FrontRunner UDOT | \$1,349,592,299 | \$11,000,000 | \$1,390,592,299 |

Chapter 2 10-Year Capital Plan Development Process

Efforts to create the 10YCP began in late 2023 by collecting updated shortand long-range planning documents from UTA.

Over the following months, UTA staff held meetings with project managers to outline their needs, either by requesting additional financial support or proposing new projects for the 10YCP.

Staff provided information during in-person meetings where plans on major purchases, projects, or improvements to maintain or expand the UTA system were unavailable.

Most of these documents are available to UTA employees, including **Direct Input Plans** and **Indirect Input Plans**.

PRIMARY PLANNING EFFORTS

Long Range Transit Plan (LRTP)

The LRTP, also known as **UTA Moves 2050**, is a fiscally constrained plan for bus, rail, and other transit infrastructure projects in the UTA service area. As UTA's first Long Range Transit Plan, it outlines desired projects for implementation between 2023 and 2050, aligning with the Regional Transportation Plans for UTA's service area. Projects are organized into ten-year phases: Phase 1 (2023-2032), Phase 2 (2033-2042), and Phase 3 (2043-2050). Phase 1 includes transit projects in communities from Brigham City in the north to Payson in the south. The capital needs for these projects and supporting investments are key inputs to the 10YCP and are detailed in the **UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 Program Pages**.

Five-Year Service Plan (5YSP)

The 5YSP is a dynamic guide for UTA's near-term future. The plan is updated every two years; the latest update proposes bus, flex, innovative mobility, and transit center service projects for the years 2025-2029. It reflects UTA's intended service based on available budget, staffing, and equipment. Updates to the plan also consider other regional and local transportation plans. This document is shared with internal and external stakeholders to assess implementation feasibility and subsequent impacts to the transit system.

Five-Year Capital Plan (5YCP)

UTA annually updates and adopts the 5YCP, a fiscally constrained document included in the budget process discussions and approvals. It ensures funding is available to maintain UTA's assets and address major project needs. The 5YCP includes prioritized investments for high-level transit service projects, smaller-scale projects (such as operator restrooms and end of line facilities), and programmatic improvements (communication and IT upgrades).

How did internal planning documents shape the 10YCP?

Many UTA departments create and regularly update strategic plans forecasting future capital needs. Managers of these plans were crucial during the creation of the 10YCP, providing input and verification of projects and associated costs. UTA managers and project owners participated in one-on-one meetings to refine the 10YCP, addressing budget or schedule changes beyond the 5YCP and adding new projects, including those that don't align with the 5YCP priorities but are still important. Many projects are ongoing, while some are for specific needs.

How does the 10YCP fit into UTA's broader planning framework?



modified, or entirely new services introduced.

C 3X PER YEAR

Chapter 3 **10-Year Capital Plan Program Pages**

These 18 Program Pages have been identified by **UTA** managers and plan owners as department goals that are important to advance and that best serve the agency and the public.

10YCP PROGRAM PAGES

Customer

Finance

Experience













Safety & Security



Systems



Operations





Facilities, Real Estate & TOD

Information Technology



Non-Revenue Vehicles



Studies &

Programmatic Efforts



10

Track, Bus Lane & Bridge Rehabilitation



Transit Centers

UTA MOVES 2050 PHASE 1 PROGRAM PAGES



Enhanced Bus

Light Rail



Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)





FrontRunner UDOT

TOTAL 10YCP COST





UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 Projects



Actual \$7,691,499,215

A Note on Project Owners

Projects may have different plan owners or departments and are presented together in this 10YCP when there is an opportunity for logical groupings.

Program Name

Projects are grouped by the type of transit infrastructure improvement, occasionally aligning UTA departments.

Program Description

The description provides an overview of the program's goals, scope, and key objectives.

Program Priorities

These are the top priorities to advance the program.

Projects

Projects that begin with an alphanumeric project number are approved and adopted into the budget. Projects without alphanumeric project numbers have not been approved.

Funds Allocated

Each project line includes additional detail on what funds are considered fiscally constrained by the UTA Board (**FY24-28 5YCP**) or requested (**FY29-33**) by UTA managers and plan owners. The **FY29-33 costs** include capital costs for UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 projects.



Project Identifiers

Many projects reference ways they integrate into the larger effort using color coded identifiers.

Optimization & Improvements Incremental targeted adjustments to improve utilization of UTA's existing infrastructure.

For example, remodeling an existing building, improving transit signage, or providing restrooms for operators.

System Expansion New or expanded infrastructure that creates significant capacity to deliver new transit service.

For example, a new bus hub, vehicle maintenance facility, or rail line.

State of Good Repair

Keeping existing infrastructure capable of operating in a safe and reliable manner.

UTA tracks the lifecycle of millions of dollars of investments and calculates capital spending needed to maintain them in a State of Good Repair.

Overlap Projects provide opportunities to streamline funding and procurement.

Future 10YCP efforts will provide more detail for those projects that have been identified as having overlap with another project.

Components of the TRAX Modernization Program

This is an upcoming UTA initiative that captures many of UTA's light rail projects.

Customer Experience

Customer Experience projects advance improvements to stations and stops that provide customers better information on how to use the transit network and provide a higher level of station and stop amenities.



TOP 2 PRIORITIES



2

Align <u>Five-Year Service Plan</u> with available funding for new stops

10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <u>MSP198</u> | Wayfinding Plan | • | | | • | \$1.4M | \$1.5M | \$1.5M | \$1.5M | \$1.5M | \$1.5M |
| <u>MSP224</u> | ADA Bus Stop Improvements UTCO | | • | • | | \$756K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP229</u> | Bus Stop Improvements & Signing in Salt Lake County | | • | • | | \$1.5M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP301</u> | Federal Bus Stops 5339 | | • | • | | \$2.3M | | | | | |
| <u>SGR407</u> | Bus Stop Enhancements | | • | • | | \$5.9M | \$1.5M | \$1.6M | \$1.7M | \$1.7M | \$1.8M |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS Optimization & Improvements
 System Expansion

Facilities, Real Estate & TOD

Facilities, Real Estate, & TOD includes a broad range of building and land improvements. The program elements vary from station area planning to land acquisitions for facility construction or expansion to building remodels and renovations. The eventual users of these facilities are typically UTA staff.



TOP 3 PRIORITIES

1

2

Address Warm Springs lifecycle replacement needs in parallel with <u>FrontRunner 2X</u>

2

Construct Salt Lake Central, Riverside, and Meadowbrook buildings

3

Upgrade facilities based on system expansion

10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| FMA688 | Lab Building FLHQ Demolition/Parking Lot | | | | | \$250K | | | | | |
| <u>FMA690</u> | Facility Program Development & Design | • | • | | | \$1M | \$1M | | | | |
| <u>FMA692</u> | Warm Springs Upgrades | | | • | | \$35M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP102</u> | Depot District | | • | • | | \$1M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP258</u> | Mt. Ogden Admin Bldg. Expansion | | • | | | \$11.7M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP262</u> | Salt Lake Central HQ Office | • | | • | | | \$165M | | | | |
| <u>MSP263</u> | TOD Working Capital | • | | | | \$6.3M | \$709K | \$730K | \$752K | \$774K | \$798K |
| <u>MSP267</u> | New Maintenance Training Facility | | • | | | \$9.1M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP275</u> | Station Area Planning | • | | | | \$1.2M | \$1.5M | | | | |
| <u>MSP283</u> | ROW & Facility Property Opportunity Buy | | | | | \$5M | \$1M | \$1.1M | \$1.1M | \$1.1M | \$1.2M |
| <u>SGR390</u> | Jordan River #2 Remodel | | | • | | \$13M | | | | | |
| | Depot District land acquisition and Bus Canopies | | | | | | \$23M | \$200K | \$5M | \$5M | |
| | FrontRunner Rail New Building | | | • | | | \$410M | \$90M | | | |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS

Optimization & Improvements
 System Expansion

State of Good Repair
Overlap

• 0

Facilities, Real Estate & TOD (cont.)

| PROJECTS | PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | • | • | • | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|------|------|---------|---------|
| JRSC Structural Admin/amenity | | • | | • | | | \$5M | \$5M | | | |
| Meadowbrook B | uilding 1 Interior Remodel | • | | • | | | \$2M | | | | |
| Meadowbrook B | uilding 7 🕕 | | | | | | \$20M | | | | |
| Midvale Structur amenity addition | ral upgrades + Admin/ 1+ paint booth | • | | • | | | \$41M | | | | |
| Mt. Ogden Phas | e 2 Admin | | | | | | | | | \$10.3M | |
| Mt. Ogden Main | tenance Upgrade/Replacement | | | | | | | | | | \$39.5M |
| Police HQ | | | | • | | | | | | | \$13M |
| Riverside Admin | New Building i | | • | | | | \$20M | | | | |
| Riverside Mainte | enance Expansion | | • | | | | \$7.9M | | | | |
| | lding addition/upgrade (or property acquisition | | • | | | | \$20M | | | | |
| Mobility Center | Relocation | | • | | | | \$200K | | | | |
| Timpanogos Par | king Expansion | • | | | | | \$830K | | | | |

| PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | Optimization & Improvements System Expansion | State of Good Repair Overlap |
|------------------------|---|---|
| PROGRAM | Updated 5YCP could show Riv | verside and MBK Bldg 7 combined |



UTA's Finance projects track Federal Transit Administration (FTA) 5310 formula funds that support programs for senior riders and riders with disabilities. These are disbursements UTA makes to regionwide participants on an application basis.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | • | • | • | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| <u>CDA006</u> | 5310 Administraion Funds All Years | | | • | | \$1.6M | | | | | |
| ICI213 | eVoucher Phase 2 | • | | | | \$374K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP220</u> | FFY 2018 20-1901 Grant SLC/WV 5310 | • | • | • | | \$200K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP221</u> | FFY 2018 20-1902 Grant O/L 5310 | • | • | • | | \$200K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP222</u> | FFY 2018 20-1903 P/O 5310 | • | | • | | \$200K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP251</u> | FFY 2019/2020 UT-2021-006 P/O 5310 | • | | • | | \$50K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP276</u> | FFY 2022 UT 2023 SL/WV 5310 | | • | • | | \$1.6M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP277</u> | FFY 2022 UT-2023-024 P/O 5310 | • | • | • | | \$615K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP278</u> | FFY 2022 UT02023 O/L 5310 | • | • | • | | \$835K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP279</u> | FFY 2021 UT-2023-013 O/L 5310 | • | • | • | | \$425K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP280</u> | FFY 2021 UT-2023-014 SL/WV 5310 | • | | • | | \$752K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP281</u> | FFY 2021 UT-2023-023 P/O 5310 | • | | • | | \$289K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP297</u> | FFY 2019/2020 UT-2021-005 Grant SL/WV 5310 | • | • | • | | \$50K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP297</u> | FFY 2019/2020 UT-2021-011-01 SL/WV 5310 | • | • | • | | \$950K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP298</u> | FFY 2019/2020 UT-2021-007 O/L 5310 | • | • | • | | \$50K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP298</u> | FFY 2019/2020 UT-2021-010-01 O/L 5310 | • | ٠ | • | | \$550K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP299</u> | FFY 2019/2020 UT-2021-009-01 P/O 5310 | • | ٠ | • | | \$350K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP302</u> | FFY 2024 O/L 5310 | • | • | • | | \$886K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP303</u> | FFY 2023 O/L 5310 | • | • | • | | \$860K | | | | | |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS Optimization & ImprovementsSystem Expansion

State of Good Repair

Overlap

Finance (cont.)

| PROJECT | S | PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| <u>MSP304</u> | FFY 2023 P/O 5310 | | • | | • | | \$634K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP305</u> | FFY 2023 SL/WV 5310 | | • | | • | | \$1.6M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP306</u> | FFY 2026 All UZAs 5310 | | • | | • | | \$3.4M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP307</u> | FFY 2025 All UZAs 5310 | | • | | • | | \$3.3M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP308</u> | FFY 2024 SL/WV 5310 | | • | • | • | | \$1.7M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP309</u> | FFY 2024 P/O 5310 | | • | • | • | | \$653K | | | | | |
| ICI213 | eVoucher Phase 2 | | • | | | | \$374K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP999</u> | Capital Contingency | | • | • | • | | \$25M | \$5M | \$5M | \$5M | \$5M | \$5M |
| | FFY2025 5310 | | • | | • | | | \$2.5M | | | | |
| | FFY2026 5310 | | • | | • | | | \$2.6M | | | | |
| | FFY2027 5310 | | • | • | • | | | \$2.7M | | | | |
| | FFY2028 5310 | | • | • | • | | | | \$2.9M | | | |
| | FFY2029 5310 | | • | • | • | | | | | \$3M | | |
| | FFY2030 5310 | | • | • | • | | | | | | \$3.1M | |
| | FFY2031 5310 | | • | • | • | | | | | | | \$3.3M |
| | FFY2032 5310 | | • | • | • | | | | | | | \$3.5M |
| | FFY2033 5310 | | | • | • | | | | | | | \$3.6M |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS

Information Technology

The Information Technology program supports system upgrades and future projects that will protect and improve information collection, storage, and sharing meant to advance projects that enhance UTA customers' experiences.



TOP 3 PRIORITIES

1

-Continue to enhance cybersecurity

10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



2

Upgrade network and software

3

Upgrade new radio communication system

10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJEC | TS PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | ٠ | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <u>ICI001</u> | Passenger Information | • | | • | • | \$3.2M | | | | | \$1M |
| <u>ICI005</u> | EFC-Rehab & Replacement | | | • | | | | | | | |
| <u>ICI146</u> | FrontRunner WiFi Enhancements | • | | • | | \$550K | \$150K | \$150K | \$200K | \$200K | \$200K |
| <u>ICI173</u> | JDE System Enhancements | • | | • | | \$275K | \$50K | \$50K | \$50K | \$50K | \$50K |
| ICI179 | Network Infrastructure Equipment & Software | • | | • | | \$1.9M | \$500K | \$500K | \$500K | \$500K | \$500K |
| ICI185 | WFRC Grant for Passenger Info Improvements | • | | • | | \$120K | | | | | |
| ICI186 | In-House Application Development | • | | | | \$1M | \$200K | \$250K | \$250K | \$250K | \$250K |
| <u>ICI191</u> | IT Managed Reserves | • | | • | | \$2M | \$400K | \$400K | \$600K | \$600K | \$400K |
| ICI197 | Bus Communications On-Board Technology | • | | • | | \$1M | \$200K | \$200K | \$200K | \$200K | \$200K |
| <u>ICI198</u> | Info Security HW/SW (Cybersecurity, NIST & PCI Compliance) | • | | • | | \$1.8M | \$550K | \$400K | \$440K | \$484K | \$532K |
| ICI199 | Onboard Rail Communications | • | | • | • | \$375K | \$200K | \$100K | \$100K | \$100K | \$100K |
| <u>ICI201</u> | Server, Storage Infrastructure Equipment & Software | • | | • | | \$2.2M | \$880K | \$1M | \$260K | \$500K | \$500K |

Optimization & Improvements
 System Expansion

State of Good Repair

Overlap

Information Technology (cont.)

| PROJEC | TS PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | 5 • | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|-----|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <u>ICI202</u> | Radio Communications Infrastrucure | • | | • | | \$275K | \$50K | \$50K | \$50K | \$50K | \$50K |
| <u>ICI214</u> | APC Upgrade | • | | • | • | \$2.5M | \$141K | \$150K | \$160K | \$170K | \$185K |
| <u>ICI216</u> | SSBU Mobility Center Trapeze software ADA Eligibility plug-in | • | | • | | \$170K | | | | | |
| ICI217 | Transit Management System | • | | • | | \$200K | | | | | |
| ICI222 | Fares Systems Replacement Program | • | | • | | \$30.8M | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K |
| ICI223 | ERP Analysis | • | | • | | | | | \$500K | | |
| ICI224 | JDE 9.2 Applications Upgrade UNx | • | | • | | \$450K | | \$225K | | \$225K | |
| ICI225 | Sharepoint 2018 Migration to Sharepoint Online | • | | • | | | \$100K | | | | |
| ICI226 | New Radio Communication System | • | | • | | \$9.8M | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K |
| <u>ICI228</u> | HRIS Workday (CPO New HRIS system application upgrade) | • | | • | | \$3.8M | | | | | |
| <u>ICI230</u> | Operations Systems / Enterprise Asset Management (EAM) | • | | • | | \$6.8M | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K | \$150K |
| ICI231 | United Way Tablet Upgrade | • | | • | | \$57K | | | | | |
| <u>ICI232</u> | SSBU Trapeze Customer Facing Electronic Fare Easy-Wallet | • | | • | | \$305K | | | | | |
| <u>NP064</u> | Board Room Audio & Video Equipment & Software | • | | • | | \$85K | \$10K | \$10K | \$10K | \$10K | \$10K |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS

Innovative Mobility

The Innovative Mobility program advances creative opportunities to expand public transportation throughout the UTA service area. Many initiatives from this team do not require capital funds, but they do include capital investments in battery-electric bus charging infastructure at bus depots and on bus routes.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|------|------|------|--------|
| <u>FMA693</u> | Meadowbrook Electrification | • | | | • | \$3.9M | | | | | |
| FMA694 | Electric Bus Chargers | • | | | • | \$6.7M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP270</u> | Transit Signal Priority On Board Units (TOBU) Project | • | | | | \$4.4M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP314</u> | One-Time UTA On Demand Funds | | | | • | \$326K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP315</u> | FHWA Charging & Fueling Infrastructure Community Program | • | | | • | \$1.6M | | | | | |
| <u>REV234</u> | Tooele County Microtransit & Vehicle Electrification | • | | | • | \$740K | | | | | |
| 3 | 3900 S & Wasatch Park & Ride one (1) On-route charger | • | | | • | | \$750K | | | | |
| | Clearfield FrontRunner Station two (2) On-route chargers | • | | | • | | | | | | \$1.8M |
| | Farmington FrontRunner Station two (2) On-route chargers | • | | | • | | \$1.8M | | | | |
| I | Fashion Place West TRAX Station two (2) On-route chargers | • | | | • | | | | | | \$1.8M |
| | Murray Central two (2) On-route chargers | • | | | • | | \$1.8M | | | | \$1.8M |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS

Optimization & Improvements
 System Expansion

State of Good Repair

Overlap

Innovative Mobility (cont.)

| PROJECTS P | ROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | • | • | • | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|------|------|------|--------|
| Ogden Central Station two (2) On-route chargers | | • | | | • | | | | | | \$1.8M |
| Orem Central Station two (2) On-route chargers | | • | | | • | | | | | | \$1.8M |
| Provo Central Station two (2) On-route chargers | | • | | | • | | | | | | \$1.8M |
| U of U Health Sciences Hub two (2) On-route chargers | | • | | | • | | \$1.8M | | | | |
| U of U Research Park Hub two (2) On-route chargers | | • | | | • | | | | | | \$1.8M |
| U of U South Campus Hub two (2) On-route chargers | | • | | | • | | | | | | \$1.8M |
| West Valley Central Station two (2) On-route chargers | | • | | | • | | | | | | \$1.8M |
| Timpanogos Garage 15 Depot | Chargers | • | | | • | | | | | | \$6M |
| Rail Optical Detection Pilot | | | | | | | \$1.5M | | | | |
| Electric Bus Scanning | | | | | | | \$250K | | | | |
| Depot District Micro Grid | | | | | | | \$5M | | | | |
| Employee Charging at UTA Fac | cilities | • | | | • | | | | | | \$750K |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS

Non-Revenue Vehicles

The Non-Revenue Fleet program acquires equipment required for support staff to supervise and maintain all of UTA's transit system and assets. Wheeled equipment not used to carry revenue passengers is replaced and repaired with this program.



TOP 3 PRIORITIES

1

Publish and distribute policies and procedures 2 Refine Non-Revenue Fleet Management Plan 3 Implement Fleet Maintenance Plan

10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | ٠ | • | • | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <u>REV205</u> | Replacement Non-Revenue Support Vehicles | | | • | • | \$20M | \$3.5M | \$3.6M | \$3.7M | \$3.8M | \$3.9M |
| <u>REV240</u> | Motor Pool Key Management System | • | | | | \$330K | | | | | |
| <u>REV241</u> | NRV Ancillary Equipment (Trailers, ATVs.) | • | | • | • | \$500K | \$100K | \$100K | \$100K | \$100K | \$100K |
| <u>REV242</u> | Replacement Non-rev equipment/ special vehicles | | | • | | \$2.5M | \$1M | \$1M | \$1M | \$1M | \$1M |
| | Expansion Non-Revenue Support Vehicles | | • | | • | | \$2M | \$2.1M | \$2.1M | \$2.2M | \$2.2M |

Operations

7

Operations projects increase operational efficiency for staff by funding the replacement and repair of UTA's existing facilities and assets. The program includes projects associated with police, business units, and passenger facilities.



TOP 2 PRIORITIES

1

Create a Workforce Plan that aligns with expansion plans 2

Rehabilitate and replace facilities

10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| FMA543 | Police Fleet Vehicles | | | • | | \$2.8M | \$757K | \$780K | \$803K | \$827K | \$852K |
| <u>FMA652</u> | Facilities, Equipment Managed Reserve | • | | • | | \$4M | \$1M | \$1.1M | \$1.1M | \$1.2M | \$1.2M |
| <u>FMA653</u> | Facilities Rehab/Replacement | | | • | • | \$5.0M | \$2.5M | \$2M | \$2M | \$2M | \$2M |
| <u>FMA672</u> | Park & Ride Rehab/Replacement | | | • | • | \$2M | \$800K | \$800K | \$800K | \$800K | \$800K |
| <u>FMA673</u> | Stations and Platforms Rehab/Replacement | | | • | • | \$1.5M | \$200K | \$200K | \$200K | \$200K | \$200K |
| <u>FMA679</u> | Building Remodels/Reconfigurations | • | | | • | \$5.6M | \$1M | \$1M | \$1M | \$1M | \$1M |
| <u>FMA684</u> | Police Managed Reserve | • | | • | | \$1.4M | \$343K | \$353K | \$364K | \$375K | \$386K |
| <u>FMA685</u> | Wheel Truing Machine JRSC | | | • | | \$6M | | | | | |
| <u>FMA686</u> | Warehouse Equipment Managed Reserve | • | | • | | \$424K | | | | | |
| <u>FMA689</u> | New Bid Trailer for MB building 7 | • | | | | \$115K | | | | | |
| <u>FMA691</u> | Fuel master installation at Meadowbrook and Mt. Ogden | • | | • | | \$175K | | | | | |
| | Crane For Timpanogos Maintenance | • | | • | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | Union Interlocking Upgrades and Turnback at Central Pointe | • | | | | | \$21M | | | | |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS Optimization & Improvements
 System Expansion

State of Good Repair

Overlap

Components of the TRAX Modernization Program

Revenue Vehicles

8

The Revenue Vehicles program includes expansion and replacement vehicles for UTA's transit services, including all fixed-route bus, paratransit, vanpool, light rail, and regional rail fleet. It includes the puchase of new electric buses and rehabilitation of existing rail vehicles.



TOP 3 PRIORITIES

1 Replace LRT, bus, and Paratransit vehicles 2 Replace regional rail vehicles **3** Overhaul vehicles

10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | rs | PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | ٠ | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Bus and | Paratransit | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>REV209</u> | Paratransit Replacements | | | | • | | \$26.1M | | | | | |
| <u>REV211</u> | Replacement Buses TAM | | | | • | | \$121.1M | \$61.2M | \$10.3M | \$63.2M | \$22.2M | \$16M |
| <u>REV212</u> | Park City Lo/No Grant | | • | | | | \$998K | | | | | |
| <u>REV224</u> | Bus Overhaul program | | | | • | | \$7.5M | | | | | |
| <u>REV232</u> | Vanpool Van Replacements | | | | • | | \$9.4M | | | | | |
| <u>REV236</u> | VW Battery Buses | | | | • | | \$7.4M | | | | | |
| | Davis-SLC Connector - Electric Vehicles Opening 4 | /2028 | | • | | • | | \$24.1M | | | | |
| Streetca | ar | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LRT Fleet Expansion (S-Line |) | | | | | | \$6M | | | | |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS Optimization & Improvements
 System Expansion

State of Good Repair

Overlap

Components of the TRAX Modernization Program

Revenue Vehicles (cont.)

| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | ٠ | • | • | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|----------|-------|------|------|------|--------|
| Light Rai | il | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>REV238</u> | SD100/SD160 Light Rail Vehicle Replacement 😗 | | | • | | \$239.9M | | | | | |
| | SD160 Light Rail Vehicle Replacement | | | • | | | | | | | \$250M |
| <u>SGR040</u> | Light Rail Vehicle Rehab | | | • | | \$47.5M | | | | | |
| | LRT Fleet Expansion (Orange Line) | | | | | | \$25M | | | | |
| <u>SGR386</u> | LRV repairs for 1137 and 1122 | | | • | | \$4.7M | | | | | |
| FrontRur | nner | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>MSP210</u> | FrontRunner Bike Rack project | • | | | | \$300K | | | | | |
| <u>REV233</u> | FrontRunner Rail Vehicle Procurement - Used (Comet Car Replacement) ⇄ | | | • | | \$11M | | | | | |
| <u>REV239</u> | HB322 Future Rail Car Purchase Payment $\overrightarrow{\leftarrow}$ | | • | | | \$25M | \$5M | \$5M | \$5M | \$5M | \$5M |
| <u>SGR391</u> | FrontRunner Rail Vehicle Rehab and Replacement $$ | | | • | | \$16M | \$80M | | | | |
| <u>SGR353</u> | FrontRunner Rail Engine Overhaul $\overrightarrow{\leftarrow}$ | | | • | | \$3.4M | | | | | |



Safety & Security

Safety and Security projects improve conditions for all users of the transit system and for people interacting with the transit system. These projects improve safety for people at transit stations with security cameras, police fleet vehicles, and corridor fencing. They also protect the public at large through red signal enforcement, emergency operations training, and signal coordination with entities like UDOT.



TOP 3 PRIORITIES

1 Implement red signal enforcement

2 Replace and expand security cameras

3

Respond to unplanned safety and security needs

10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | 5 • | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|---|-----|---|---|---|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| <u>FMA516</u> | Corridor Fencing | • | | • | • | \$300K | \$61.8K | \$63.7K | \$65.6K | \$67.5K | \$70K |
| <u>FMA604</u> | Safety General Projects | • | | • | | \$535K | \$128.4K | \$137.4K | \$147K | \$157.3K | \$168.3K |
| <u>FMA645</u> | Camera Sustainability | • | | • | • | \$3.1M | \$670K | \$670K | \$670K | \$670K | \$670K |
| <u>FMA658</u> | Bus Replacement Camera System | | | • | • | \$3.1M | | | | | |
| <u>FMA680</u> | Suicide Prevention Research Project | • | | | | \$139K | | | | | |
| <u>FMA681</u> | Arc Flash Analysis | • | | • | • | \$763K | | | | | |
| <u>ICI140</u> | Next Crossing Cameras | • | | | | \$200K | \$41.2K | \$42.4K | \$43.7K | \$45K | \$46.4K |
| <u>ICI229</u> | Red/Blue/Green/FrontRunner Camera Systems | • | | • | • | \$3.8M | | | | | |

Studies & Programmatic Efforts

This program includes efforts to support the advancement of agency initiatives that require additional planning or study efforts. Projects advance service that supports UTA's mission and vision.

| UTA First Mile Last Mile | ∎⊻∂ |
|---|-----------|
| Improving Community A Regional Opportunities | Access to |
| City list: | |
| American Fork | |
| Bountiful | |
| Clearfield | |
| Draper | |
| Farmington | |
| Lehi | |
| Midvale | |
| Millcreek | |
| Murray | |
| Ogden | |

-



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | ٠ | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <u>MSP248</u> | Planning & Environmental Analysis | | | • | • | \$1.5M | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K |
| <u>MSP265</u> | Program Management Support | • | • | • | • | \$14M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP284</u> | Route Planning Restoration using Equity Index | • | | | | \$175K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP288</u> | Sustainability Project Pool | • | | | | \$650K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP289</u> | Historic Orchard Pathway (Box Elder County) | • | | | | \$206K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP292</u> | AOPP: Paratransit Forward Study | • | | | | \$214K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP294</u> | Planning Studies Managed Reserves | • | • | | | \$1.9M | | | | | |
| <u>NP042</u> | Salt Lake City Reconnecting Communities East-West Connections | | • | | | \$500K | | | | | |
| | Transit Extension to University Corridor Preservation | | • | | | | \$1.7M | | | | |



Systems programs support the improvement, rehabilitation, or replacement of system assets throughout UTA's rail network and at UTA's rail facilities. Systems assets include power supply, communications, train control, and signaling.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | • | | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <u>MSP189</u> | Signal Pre-emption Projects w/UDOT | • | | | \$56K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP271</u> | MOW Training Yard | • | | | \$7.4M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP272</u> | TRAX Operational Simulator | • | | | \$634K | | | | | |
| <u>SGR047</u> | LRT Stray Current Control | • | • | | \$2.7M | | | | | |
| <u>SGR370</u> | Red Signal Enforcement | • | | | \$10.2M | | | | | |
| <u>SGR397</u> | TPSS Component Replacement | • | • | | \$28.2M | \$15M | \$15M | \$15M | \$15M | \$15M |
| <u>SGR398</u> | OCS Rehab/Replace | | • | | \$56.2M | \$775K | \$775K | \$775K | \$775K | \$775K |
| <u>SGR403</u> | Train Control Rehab & Replacement | • | • | | \$46.7M | \$400K | \$400K | \$400K | \$400K | \$400K |
| <u>SGR404</u> | Rail Switches & Trackwork Controls Rehab/Replacement | • | • | | \$15M | \$800K | \$800K | \$800K | \$800K | \$800K |
| <u>SGR410</u> | Fiber Rehab/Replacement | • | • | | \$6.9M | | | | | |
| | Systems Improvements & Optimizations Program Development | • | | • | | \$50M | \$50M | \$50M | \$50M | \$50M |
| | Dark Territory Elimination (Train Control Update) | • | | • | | | | | | |
| | Civil Speed Enforcements | • | | • | | | | | | |
| | Bi-Directional Signaling | • | | • | | | | | | |
| | Backend Communications Infastructure for Real Time Signage Accuracy Improvements | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Train to Wayside Communications | | | • | | | | | | |
| | TRAX Transit Signal Priority Improvements | • | | • | | \$1.3M | | | | |

Note: The 16 Light Rail, 17 FrontRunner (UTA), and 18 FrontRunner (UDOT) programs also include projects with major systems components.

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS Optimization & Improvements
 System Expansion

State of Good RepairOverlap

Components of the TRAX Modernization Program

Track, Bus Lane & Bridge Rehabilitation

Track, Bus Lane, and Bridge Rehabilitation programs keep fixed guideway assets throughout UTA's s service area in good, safe, operating condition.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | rs project identifiers | ٠ | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <u>SGR385</u> | Rail Replacement Program | | | • | • | \$23.2M | \$2.5M | \$2.5M | \$2.5M | \$2.5M | \$2.5M |
| <u>SGR393</u> | Grade Crossing Replacement Program | | | • | • | \$15.9M | \$2.5M | \$2.5M | \$2.5M | \$2.5M | \$2.5M |
| <u>SGR401</u> | Ballast and Tie replacement (to be named in new project #: Garfield Line Maintenance) | | | • | • | \$1.5M | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K |
| <u>SGR359</u> | Bridge Rehabilitation & Maintenance | | | • | • | \$2.2M | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K | \$300K |
| <u>SGR411</u> | Farmington Ped Bridge Repairs | | | • | | \$625K | | | | | |

Transit Centers

Projects supported by the Transit Centers program largely improve end-of-line locations throughout UTA's service area and benefit UTA drivers and passengers, specifically for bus, paratransit, and UTA On Demand. Critical investments in operator break facilities and bus layover locations are included where they are not included as part of a larger expansion project.



TOP 3 PRIORITIES

1

13

Complete operator restroom program 2 Invest in Magna Transit Center

10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033

3

Invest in Daybreak

Mobility Hub



| PROJECTS | 5 PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | ٠ | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| FMA687 | Layton Station Improvements | • | | | • | \$622K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP208</u> | Clearfield FR Station Trail | • | | | | \$1.5M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP240</u> | Operator Restrooms throughout System | • | | | | \$332K | | | | | |
| <u>MSP286</u> | Utah County Park and Ride Lots | | • | | | \$4.6M | | | | | |
| <u>SGR408</u> | Route End of Line (EOL) Enhancements | • | | | | \$6.8M | | | | | |
| <u>SGR409</u> | System Restrooms | • | | | • | \$6.6M | | | | | |
| | Murray Central Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | West Jordan City Center Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | Woods Cross Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | Roy Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | Vineyard Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | Pleasant View Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |

State of Good Repair

Transit Centers (cont.)

| ROJECTS | PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | • | • | • | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|------|-------|-------|------|
| Millcree | ek Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | Vest Old Bingham Hwy. Station or Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | Vest Old Bingham Hwy. or Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| Binghar | n Junction Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| Layton | Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| Murray | North Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| 2700 W | V. Sugar Factory Rd. Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | Grist Mill Park and Ride oury) Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| Fruit He | eights Park and Ride Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| Antelop | pe Drive Park and Ride Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| Historic | Sandy Station Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| West V | alley Central Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | ation (Expansion w/ oom) Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| | an Fork Station (Expansion w/ oom) Operator Restroom | • | | | | | \$500K | | | | |
| 12th Str | eet/Ogden Canyon Ski Park and Ride | | • | | | | | | \$2M | | |
| 3900 S | outh and Wasatch expansion | | • | | | | \$10M | | | | |
| | uth Viaduct / Salt Lake Central l Connection | • | | | | | | | \$3M | | |
| 5400 S Transit | outh / Mountainview Corridor Center | | • | | | \$5M | | | | | |
| 5600 V | Vest / S.R. 201 Mobility Hub | | • | | | | | | \$10M | | |
| 7800 S Transit | outh / Mountainview Corridor Center | | • | | | \$5M | | | | | |
| SLC Air | port Mobility Hub | | • | | | | | | | \$5M | |
| | an Fork Canyon (Highland e) Transit Center | | • | | | | | | | | \$31 |
| | an Fork FR Station Bus provements | | • | | | | | | | \$1M | |
| - | n City Transit Center (Future n City Station) | | • | | | | | | | | \$31 |
| BYU Tra | ansit Center | | • | | | | | | | \$10M | |

PROJECT IDENTIFIERS Optimization & Improvements
 System Expansion

• State of Good Repair

Overlap

Transit Centers (cont.)

| ROJECTS | PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | • | • | • | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Centerville On-S cut-out prior to t | treet EOL - Likely a he roundabout | | • | | | | \$1M | | | | |
| Central Pointe B | us Facilities Expansion | | • | | | | | \$10M | | | |
| Daybreak Mobil | ity Hub | | • | | | | \$10M | | | | |
| - | City Center EOL (Cutout in Parkstrip possibly a operator restroom) | | • | | | | | \$3M | | | |
| Eagle Mountain | Ranches Hub / Park and Ride | | • | | | | | | | \$5M | |
| Fort Union Trans | it Center | | | | | | | | | \$10M | |
| Highland Drive & Center / Park an | | | • | | | | | | | \$40M | |
| Hogle Zoo EOL | | | • | | | | | | | \$1M | |
| International Ce | nter Transit Center | | • | | • | | | | | \$3M | |
| Layton Station Ir | ngress/Egress Improvements | • | | | • | | | | \$1.5 | | |
| Lehi FR Station E | Bus Loop improvements | | • | | • | | | | | \$1M | |
| Magna Transit C | enter | | • | | | | \$5M | | | | |
| | Village(13400 South/ orridor) Transit Center | | • | | | | | | \$3M | | |
| North Temple Vi Station Vertical | aduct / North Temple Connection | • | | | | | | | | \$3M | |
| Ogden Valley Pa | rk & Ride | | • | | | | | | | | \$4.7 |
| Olympia Hills / I | Herriman Transit Center | | • | | | | | | | | \$5N |
| OWTC Transit C | enter | | • | | | | | \$5M | | | |
| Parley's Canyon (Foothill / Parley | | | • | | | | | | | \$50M | |
| Payson Mobility Frontrunner Stat | - | | • | | • | | | \$5M | | | |
| Point of the Mou | intain Mobility Hub | | • | | • | | | \$10M | | | |
| Power Station M | obility Hub | | • | | | | \$10M | | | | |
| President's Circl | e/200 South Transfer Hub | | • | | • | | \$3M | | | | |
| Provo Canyon Tr | ansit Center | | | | | | | | | \$5M | |
| Research Park N | lobility Hub | | • | | • | | | \$5M | | | |
| Santaquin Trans | it Center | | • | | | | | | | \$3M | |
| Saratoga Springs | s Hub (Future EOL needs) | | • | | | | | | | \$5M | |
| Spanish Fork Mo Fork Frontrunne | bility Hub (Spanish ^r Station) | | • | | | | | \$5M | | | |

Transit Centers (cont.)

| PROJECTS | PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • • | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|----------|--|-----|---|---|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| | ille Mobility Hub (Springville Inner Station) | | | | | | \$5M | | | |
| Syracus | e Transit Center (Antelope Drive) | | | | | | | | | \$3M |
| Tooele | Transit Center | | | | | | | | \$2M | |
| Univers | ity Place Transit Center | | | • | | | | | | \$5M |
| U of U H | Health Sciences Mobility Hub | | | • | | \$10M | | | | |
| U of U S | South Campus Mobility Hub | | | • | | | | | \$10M | |
| UVU Tr | ansit Center | | | | | | | | | \$10M |
| Vineyar | d Station Mobility Hub | | | • | | | \$10M | | | |
| WSU-D | avis Bus Loop | | | | | | | | \$1M | |

Chapter 4

UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 Program Pages



Adopted in 2024, UTA's Long-Range Transit Plan (LRTP), <u>UTA Moves 2050</u>, includes plans to increase bus, light rail, and regional rail services in three phases over a 30-year period.

PHASE 1 will be implemented from 2023 to 2032, aligning with the 10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) for 2024-2033. These projects, which include transit services requiring fixed guideways like tracks, dedicated bus lanes, or transit signal priority, are evaluated at a high level (see **UTA Moves Phase 1 Project Sheets**).

UTA staff teams will refine the planning, coordination, and cost as these projects advance.

LRTP PROGRAM NEEDS FOR THE 10YCP

UTA will provide a cohesive program of projects that strategically phases work such that:

- 1. **Projects** build on one another in the same direction
- 2. **Improvements** are coordinated with rehabilitation of existing infrastructure when appropriate
- 3. Impacts to system users are minimized as much as possible
- 4. Investment levels are relative to needs

The current 2024-2033 10YCP does not require projects to occur in a specific sequence. However, this planning effort enhances project phasing by making content developed by various contributors more accessible across the UTA organization. This approach enables plan owners and project managers to better self-coordinate their projects. As project scopes and resource allocations become more defined, the 10YCP may eventually facilitate phasing more directly.

Enhanced Bus

Enhanced Bus projects introduce improvements including infrastructure and technology as well as passenger amenities to improve travel for bus passengers.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <u>MSP202</u> | 3300/3500 South Max EXP/Optimization | • | | | • | \$2.4M | | | | | |
| <u>MSP260</u> | 5600 West Bus 🖸 | | | | | \$43.1M | \$70M | | | | |
| <u>MSP202</u> | Davis-SLC Community Connector $lacksquare$ | | • | | • | \$1.5M | \$70M | | | | |
| <u>MSP285</u> | Bus Speed and Reliability Program (BSRP) | | • | | • | \$400K | | | | | |
| | LRTP Enhanced Bus Phase 1 Feasibility Studies | | • | | • | | \$1.5M | | | | |
| | Route 850 (Central Corridor) | | • | | • | | \$16M | | | | |
| | Route 35 (3500 South) | | • | | • | | \$20M | | | | |
| | Route 1 (Rose Park/South Temple) | | • | | • | | | \$8.9M | | | |
| | Route 9 (900 South) | | • | | • | | | \$8.3M | | | |
| | Route 201 (State St South) | | • | | • | | | | \$8.4M | | |
| | Route 205 (500 East) | | • | | • | | | | \$19M | | |
| | Route 209 (900 East) | | • | | • | | | | | \$25M | |
| | Route 21 (2100 South/2100 East) | | • | | • | | | | | \$9.6M | |
| | Route 611 (Washington Ave) 킱 | | • | | • | | | | | | \$6.3M |

| PROJECT • Optimization & Improvements • State of Good Repair IDENTIFIERS • System Expansion • Overlap | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| PROGRAM | Transit Transportation Investment Funds (TTIF) have been allocated to the project costs shown | | | | |
| IDENTIFIERS | → UDOT-UTA Joint Priority Projects | | | | |
| | 🔍 Needs RTP Coordination | | | | |

Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)

Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) projects implement a form of travel priority such as signalization or designated lanes with new technology to improve travel and speed for bus passengers. This mode is also referred to as Rapid Bus in UTA's Service Design Standards and in the LRTP.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | • | • | FY24-28 | FY29-33 | INVESTMENT LEVEL* |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|----------|---------|----------------------|
| <u>MSP185</u> | Ogden/Weber State University BRT | • | | | \$5.6M | | |
| <u>MSP253</u> | Midvalley Express | • | | • | \$100.7M | | |
| <u>MSP255</u> | Central Corridor Transit Study 🕕 | • | | • | \$100K | | |
| <u>MSP287</u> | 900 East UVX Station | • | | • | \$3.4M | | |
| | LRTP BRT Phase 1 Scoping & Feasibility Studies Initiation | | | • | | \$2M | |
| | 200 South BRT (Route 2) Improvements beyond current 200 South Improvements 🔺 | • | | • | | | |
| | State Street BRT (North Temple to Murray Central) 👥 🛱 Mod | • | | • | | \$170M | Moderate |
| | Redwood Road BRT (North Temple to West Jordan City Center) 🛋 🗖 Mod | • | | • | | \$260M | Moderate |
| | 300 West BRT (North Temple to Central Point) 🔍 🚍 Min | • | | • | | \$112M | Minimum |
| | 400 South/Foothill Drive BRT 텍 | • | | • | | | |
| | UVX Extension to Vineyard BRT 🖬 High | • | | • | | \$110M | High |
| | | | | | | | |

| PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | State of Good Repair Overlap |
|------------------------|--|---|
| PROGRAM IDENTIFIERS | Transit Transportation Investment I have been allocated to the project of the | |
| | → UDOT-UTA Joint Priority Projects | • Minimum (Min): 1 mile ex |
| | Expenditures identified are to supp | • Moderate (Mod): |
| | implemented beyond the timefram | e of - State Street: 1.5 Mile Ex |
| | the 10YCP, see related LRTP and RT | 15.7 Mile Route |

Limited Definition Project, see pages 39-40

Needs RTP Coordination

- Representative BRT investment levels (actual investment levels and scopes to be determined by study):
 - Minimum (Min): 1 mile exclusive or 5-10 queue jumps
 - State Street: 1.5 Mile Exclusive, Queue Jumps, 9.6 Mile Route
 - Redwood Road BRT: 2 Mile Exclusive, 7 Queue Jumps,
 - High: 50% exclusive or greater



16

Light Rail projects support optimization and efficiency of current rail lines, assure sustainability of the system, and expand light rail transit service to new areas.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECT | S PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29-33 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------|---------|
| <u>MSP216</u> | Point of the Mountain Transit Environmental Assesment 🛈 🖸 | | | | • | \$4M | \$500K |
| <u>MSP254</u> | TechLink Study | | | | • | \$1.3M | \$15M |
| <u>MSP259</u> | S-Line Extension 🖸 | | | | • | \$15.7M | |
| <u>MSP300</u> | TRAX platform in South Jordan 🖸 | | • | | • | \$6M | |
| | TRAX Modernization Program $\overleftrightarrow \mathbf{A}$ | • | • | • | • | | \$500K |
| | LRTP LRT Phase 1 Pending Studies | | | | • | | \$8.8M |
| | TRAX Orange - 400 South, Research Park Extension, Pioneer Park Connector 🗉 TRAX Red - Ballpark Spur, 400 West 🗉 | | • | | • | | \$400M |
| | TRAX Blue/Green Termini Adjustment 🗉 🔺 | • | | | • | | |
| | TRAX Late Night/Early Morning Service (address freight conflict for 24 hr JR access) | | • | | | | \$5.2M |
| | TRAX Frequency Improvements (includes train control and electrification modifications) | | • | | • | | |
| | TRAX Late Night/Early Morning Service (address freight conflict between Ballpark and Fashion Place West) | | • | | • | | |
| | TRAX Trunk Line 65 mph Civil Speed Improvements (Draper to Ballpark) | • | | | • | | \$28M |
| | TRAX High Block Removals 🔺 | • | | | • | | |
| | TRAX Mainline Improvements (1300 South to I-80) | • | | | • | | |
| | Transit Extension to University Corridor Preservation | | | | | | \$1.7M |

PROGRAM IDENTIFIERS

8

UDOT-UTA Joint Priority Projects

Limited Definition Project, see pages 39-40

ι

₹

Being studied in the TechLink TRAX Study

 Transit Transportation Investment Funds (TTIF) have been allocated to the project costs shown

Expenditures identified are to support service implemented beyond the timeframe of the 10YCP, see related LRTP and RTP
FrontRunner



These projects support UTA's <u>FrontRunner Forward</u> <u>Plan</u> by improving or expanding regional rail frequency, days of service, and coverage along the Wasatch Front.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

5-Year Capital Plan (5YCP) Fiscally Constrained FY 2024-2028



10-Year Capital Plan (10YCP) Fiscally Unconstrained FY 2024-2033



| PROJECTS PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | | | ٠ | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29-33 |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|---------|
| <u>MSP140</u> | Box Elder County Corridor Preservation | | • | | | \$9.8M | |
| <u>MSP193</u> | Weber County Corridor Preservation | | • | | | \$5.7M | |
| <u>MSP215</u> | Sharp/Tintic Rail Corridor Connection | | • | | • | \$2.3M | |
| <u>MSP252</u> | FrontRunner 2X 🖪 🔁 🖸 | | • | | • | \$10M | |
| <u>MSP264</u> | FrontRunner South Extension 🖸 | | • | | • | \$8.7M | \$700M |
| <u>MSP293</u> | Shepherd Lane Betterment with UDOT for future FrontRunner Double Tracking | | • | | | | |
| | FrontRunner Sunday Service 📤 👥 | | • | | • | | |
| | FrontRunner New Grade Separations 📤 ៧ | • | | | | | |
| | FrontRunner Phasing Plan Phase 2 - Doubletracking 赵 to Achieve All Day 15 Minute Service | | • | | • | | \$1B |
| | FrontRunner Phasing Plan Phase 3 - System Electrification 📫 | • | | | • | | \$3B |

| PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | Optimization & Improvements System Expansion Svstem Expansion State of Good Repair Overlap |
|------------------------|--|
| PROGRAM | Expenditures identified are to support third party delivery |
| IDENTIFIERS | → UDOT-UTA Joint Priority Projects |
| | Transit Transportation Investment Funds (TTIF) have been allocated to the project costs shown |
| | ▲ Limited Definition Project, see pages 39-40 |
| | Needs RTP Coordination |

FrontRunner

UDOT Projects

This program includes the UDOT expenditures on the UTA FrontRunner service. Other UDOT expenditures on the UTA transit network infrastructure are presently expected to be through roadway projects, pass through to UTA projects or have not been sufficiently programmed to represent here.



10-YEAR CAPITAL COST

Total 2024-2028



Total 2024-2033



| PROJECTS | PROJECT IDENTIFIERS | • | • | ٠ | FY24-28 | FY29-33 |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---|---------|---------|
| FrontRunner 2X 🗊 🖸 | | • | | • | \$966M | |
| FrontRunner Point Improvements 🖸 | | • | | • | \$391M | |
| Sharp/Tintic Rail Corridor Connection 🖸 | | • | | • | \$22.7M | \$11M |
| FrontRunner South Extension Corridor Pr | eservation 📤 | • | | | | |
| FrontRunner Corridor Preservation 🔺 | | • | | | | |





PROJECTS

Limited Definition Projects

CURRENT DEFINITION

While the majority of project costs can be communicated by an order of magnitude expectation (\$50K, \$500K, \$5M, \$50M or \$500M), some lack sufficient scope definition to set those expectations. The following table lists the projects that lack sufficient definition to list an order of magnitude cost. The current status which limits present definability is provided. These projects combined could increase the expenditure level in the 10YCP 10%-30%, once better defined.

| 200 South BRT (Route 2) | Salt Lake City is leading the construction of transit priority lanes and new shelters on 200 South from 400 West to 700 East (1.65 miles), which are intended to serve several routes. These lanes are understood to be operational by the December 2024 or April 2025 Change Days. UTA intends to begin operation of the 2A/2B clockwise and counterclockwise routes by April 2026 Change Day, which will introduce 7.5-minute headways on the 200 South corridor. Furthermore, UTA is advancing the Davis-SLC Community Connector project (listed with the Enhanced Bus projects), which is also planned to use these lanes when it begins operations (anticipated in 2028). The Davis-SLC Community Connector is still in the design phase at this writing, but it is anticipated that there may be additional transit prioritization measures implemented to complement the transit priority lanes on 200 South. While the UTA LRTP identified that BRT improvements be perused for Route 2, it is unclear what improvements may be proposed above and beyond those already being implemented by the 200 South transit priority corridor project, and by the upcoming Davis-SLC Community Connector Branding changes relative to routes that utilize the transit priority lanes have not been determined. |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| 400 South/Foothill BRT | This BRT corridor was proposed in the LRTP process. However, these findings have not been reconciled with the transit priority lane improvements under construction on 200 South. Ridership projections from the LRTP process that underscored the potential of this route should be revisited using UTA's updated STOPS model, and with careful consideration of possible detraction of ridership from other parallel existing or planned routes. |
| TRAX High Block Removals | As UTA's fleet turns over, expanding level-boarding access on TRAX vehicles, it is assumed that the high block accessible access platforms currently providing access to the Blue Line will no longer be needed. As funding materializes for fleet replacements, specifics regarding the removal of high blocks need to be programmed. It is likely this is programmed with other improvements such as the TRAX Blue/Green Termini Adjustment, TRAX Frequency Improvements, or Platform Rehabilitation. |
| TRAX Blue/Green Termini Adjustment | No cost has been identified associated with this change, however as the concept matures, and other TRAX improvements advance, it is likely that necessary and associated improvements may be identified. This could include high block removals, fleet changes, operational infrastructure (i.e. interlockings, tail track), operator restrooms, bus hub improvements and switch improvements. |

| Project | Current Definition |
|---|---|
| TRAX Frequency Improvements | Improvement of TRAX frequency from 15 minutes to 12 minutes was identified in the LRTP, although previous assessments of increased frequency as part of the Future of Light Rail study indicated that potential ridership increases may be marginal when compared to the cost of needed improvements Necessary improvements to facilitate this may include fleet expansions, traction power upgrades, signaling/control upgrades, interlockings, switch control, and EOL improvements (trail track, restrooms). |
| TRAX Late Night/ Early Morning Service | The reason for span of service limitations on TRAX is freight access using the TRAX infrastructure. The Future of Light Rail study recommended negotiating with Salt Lake Southern railway and its parent company, Genessee & Wyoming, to reduce the operating window on the north/south TRAX lines. This would necessitate more indepth analysis of freight operations, such as freight time on and time off; number of locomotives and number of cars; industries served and duration; and specific routes used. The cost of conducting this analysis has not been scoped. Necessary improvements could also include freight rail to truck loading facilities and/or new track. |
| FrontRunner Sunday Service | The LRTP identified that all of UTA's Sunday service should be similar to Saturday service levels. Currently Sunday is the day when many regular maintenance and repair activities occur on FrontRunner track. The need for this is particularly acute on FrontRunner given the current primarily single track infrastructure. Also FR2X work would need to occur on Sunday to limit impacts to current operations commitments. While it is clear that Sunday service would need to follow FR2X, the associated capital improvements are not known. This may be as limited as corridor maintenance access improvements to minimize long-term SGR work access needs may dictate more extensive improvements to minimize long-term impacts to operations. |
| FrontRunner New Grade Separations | This has been identified as an opportunity in the near term, however has not been specifically scoped or programmed. FrontRunner has many at-grade crossings. New grade separations are major safety and transportation investments. In most cases the full benefit of grade separations could only be realized if perused in conjunction with adjacent freight corridor grade separations. UTA's current freight negotiation top priority is advancing freight re-locations to advance FR2X, and then FrontRunner Point improvements As those priorities advance the vision for new grade separations will be developed. |
| FrontRunner Corridor Preservation | UDOT has a revolving Corridor Preservation fund that is able to fund acquisition of certain types of properties relative to long-term transportation needs. It is expected that this fund would be utilized for future corridor preservation efforts on the FrontRunner corridor. A dollar amount has not been listed, but it is anticipated that the fund would be able to support at the levels needed to secure opportunities as they arise. Once projects advance that utilize preserved corridor, the projects reimburse the fund. No dollar amount will be listed here, given the inclusion of property costs in specific projects. |

Page is left intentionally blank.

Chapter 5 UTA Budget and Capital Costs





The 10YCP is a tool to help UTA's departments track assets and plan for system needs and priorities.

UTA's budget is updated and published annually to support staffing and service objectives within a fiscal year. Federal grants, distributed on a reimbursement basis, are harder to predict for future years.

While UTA estimates revenue from sales taxes and tracks ridership and fares, the exact revenue amounts and distribution dates can be challenging to forecast.

During unexpected factors like rising fuel prices, inflation, or delayed federal funds, UTA staff must remain flexible and adaptive when funds are insufficient.

Revenue Sources

Primary revenue sources for UTA come from local sales tax, federal formula grants, and fares. Other sources are discretionary grants, leases, state and local contributions, and bonding, as well as public-private partnerships.

LOCAL FUNDING

UTA Local Funding Sources

UTA local funds come from two primary sources: passenger fares and sales tax, with sales tax making up the most sizeable portion. Sales tax is collected at different rates with the UTA service area, based on local jurisdictional appropriations, which influences the levels of service provided throughout the transit system. UTA local funds are available for purchases, contracts, and as a match for other funding sources such as federal grants.

External Funding Sources

External funding sources are important to capital planning and capital investments at UTA. Specifically, projects in the 10YCP require supplemental funding beyond what can be generated locally. Most external resources come from the U.S. Department of Transportation in the form of grants that allocate money for large expenses such as vehicle replacements, facility development, and maintenance activities. Large transit investments that were timed with the 2002 Winter Olympics in Salt Lake City were funded from federal grant sources as well. UTA should consider the viability of its projects based on the availability of external funding sources.

FEDERAL FUNDING

Federal Formula Funds

The U.S. Department of Transportation annually allocates money through formula funds for operations and capital expenses. Transit agencies do not have to compete for this money; rather, it is allocated based on parameters such as agency size and populations served. Capital projects supported by formula funding can be the purchase of new buses, investments in bus facilities, investments in State of Good Repair, and planning activities.

Federal Discretionary Funds

Federal discretionary or competitive grants are available for specific projects or needs. Transit agencies and providers submit applications by a specified deadline that advocates for their projects. These projects often introduce service additions or major infrastructure projects that an agency by itself could not fund. There is a limited amount of funding for competitive grants and an agency must wait until the award deadline to know if it was selected. Most applications are open once each year.

New Starts and Small Starts Capital Investment Grants

One discretionary grant that has been a valuable source of funding for several past UTA projects is the Federal Transit Administration's Capital Investment Grants (CIG) program. The CIG program establishes evaluation criteria for transit projects funded through its New Starts and Small Starts programs so that the FTA can consistently compare transit funding applications from around the United States.

UDOT Transit Transportation Investment Fund

UDOT's Transit Transportation Investment Fund (TTIF) provides state funding for transit projects and has an established process for prioritizing the allocation of TTIF funds to transit projects. This funding source is tied to a gas tax increase that was instituted in Utah beginning in 2016 and that generates transportation funding for transit projects, state highways, and local road improvements. Understanding how UTA's future projects might score on UDOT's TTIF rankings helps UTA decide where to spend resources on state transit funding applications, as opposed to seeking internal, local, or federal funding.

OTHER SOURCES

Bonds & Local Partnerships

External funding sources, such as federal grants, are an important tool for realizing projects, especially larger and more costly ones. However, the selection and timing of awarded projects can be unpredictable and even delayed. UTA can access other sources of funds such as bonds or local partnerships when there is a benefit to do so. These agreements can lead to real investments or as a match for federal funding when using UTA's local funds is not preferred.

Capital Cost Estimates

Cost estimates are provided for all projects and programs included in the 10YCP. Methodologies for generating cost estimates varied depending on the type of project or program included in this plan.

COST ESTIMATES FOR PROGRAMS

Cost estimates for the **UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 Program Pages** started with costs shown in the 2024-2028 5YCP. These cost estimates are provided by UTA's program leads for each category and were transferred over to the 10YCP for 2024-2028. If program leads had more information about projected costs for the years including 2029-2033, these were included in the Program Pages. If no information was provided about 2029-2033 program costs, or previous trends were not indicated or did not apply, then cost estimates for 2029-2033 were left blank.

COST ESTIMATES FOR UTA MOVES 2050 (LRTP) PROJECTS

The 10YCP includes projects from **UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 Project Sheets** that are likely to require capital investment. While UTA Moves 2050 provided high-level cost estimates for all projects included in the plan, these were generated using a typical cost-per-mile threshold without the opportunity for additional refinement, given the scale of that plan.

For the 10YCP, UTA developed more detailed cost estimates to fine-tune potential financial needs for implementation. This process included the following steps:

- Using UTA's cost validation tool (initially developed to support independent cost estimating for the Point of the Mountain project in 2022) to develop preliminary cost estimates that consider details such as elevations, number of stations, demolition, earthwork, utility relocation, roadway reconstruction, signal operations, communications infrastructure, fare collection equipment, and other factors.
- Escalating these costs to the appropriate horizon year for each LRTP project (originally using 2022 unit costs from the cost validation tool), assuming the timelines indicated in the **UTA Moves 2050 Phase 1 Program Pages**.
- Updating cost estimates for selected projects from the LRTP, primarily BRT-level routes, where more detailed assumptions about exclusivity, transit priority, station-level improvements, and other costs could be generated. These included the following projects:
 - 200 South BRT
 - State Street BRT (North Temple to Murray Central Station)
 - Redwood Road BRT (North Temple to West Jordan City Center)
 - 300 West BRT (North Temple to Central Pointe)
 - 400 South/Foothill Drive BRT
 - UVX Extension to Vineyard Station BRT
 - Central Corridor Transit Study





Near-Term Capital Development Projects

Several major investment projects identified in this 10YCP will make significant progress before the next iteration of this plan. These include:



Other Near-Term Initiatives



Coordinate on Overlapping Projects

The 10YCP Program Pages identify projects that have a degree of overlap, or shared outcome, with another UTA effort. Overlap does not mean a duplication of efforts, rather overlap identifies a potential for collaboration between departments whose projects could have different project managers and potentially different funding sources and timelines but have a common product. An example is the opportunity to coordinate wayfinding with the construction of new transit centers that will be built near a new transit route. These independent efforts present an opportunity for sharing ideas that can lead to a better final product.



UTA Staffing and Training Capacity

UTA has an emphasis on delivering excellent, safe transit services. This is achievable by proactively hiring and training the right people at the right time, which can be challenging in a dynamic industry such as public transit. Investments in even small capital projects can require new employees, and each new employee, in turn, requires some level of investment. The 10YCP can be a valuable tool in preparing workforce plans and connecting them to future capital needs. New buildings could require additional staffing such as security or IT, and new services could require maintenance, supervision, and operations employees, as well as more vehicles. The importance of a staffing plan is currently a consideration of the 5YCP process. Its relation to the 10YCP is described in the table on the next page. This is another opportunity for collaboration between departments.

A staffing plan is an important consideration in the 5YCP. Here is how it can relate to the 10YCP:

| Staffing Plan Actions | Description | Relation to the 10YCP |
|---|---|--|
| Staffing Standards | Establish and affirm number of employees necessary relative to operator hours, station platforms, fixed guideway, shelters, vehicles, and other key metrics. | More clarity around staffing needs relative to new capital investments will support quick clarification and needs identification. |
| Workforce Management Plan | Align resources tied to workforce expansion and retention. Key resources include: recruitment capacity, training capacity, funding facility capacity, revenue vehicle availability, non-revenue vehicle availability. | UTA is not able to deploy capital investments that require workforce expansion without the resources to expand that workforce. Further, some of these resources may require capital expenditures. |
| Interconnect Expansion & Operations Resource Allocation | As projects advance operations and maintenance funds are budgeted, this activity can be more directly connected to the above initiatives and can be further improved by flowing directly into resource allocations to operations and maintenance. | It is critical that new system expansions occur in light of full life- cycle resource allocations necessary to operate that expansion. |
| Vehicle Maintenance Expertise | Staff expertise (and ongoing training) is necessary to keep vehicles in a State of Good Repair and operating safely. As the system expands, staff needs may also grow to continue meeting the maintenance needs of UTA's fleet. | Staffing needs are closely tied to expenditures on vehicles and facilities identified in the 10YCP. |
| Mental Health & Police Resources | Ensure appropriate access to resources to support community social and health needs, perception of safety, and actual safety. | As this is achieved, there will be greater interest in our services and the capital investments necessary to deliver that service. |

10YCP Additional/Future Efforts:

| Staffing Plan Actions | Relation to the 10YCP |
|---|--|
| Travel Demand Modeling, FTA Model | To improve understanding of projects' performance in the FTA Capital Investment Grant (CIG) Program, UTA will prepare advance travel demand modeling of project ridership performance using FTA's STOPS model. |
| UTA CIG Pursuits | UTA will identify and prioritize projects within this 10YCP that appear to be most competitive for FTA's CIG program |
| Fixed Guideway Expansion SGR | UTA will add SGR costs for Enhanced Bus, BRT, LRT, and FrontRunner projects. |
| Fixed Guideway Expansion Project Pages | UTA will update the Project Pages in this 10YCP for Enhanced Bus, BRT, LRT, and FrontRunner projects, including updated cost and ridership content. Within the Project Pages, phase costs will be itemized (Feasibility Study, Project Development, Final Design, Construction), with the next fundable phase itemized on the Program Cut Sheets. |
| TTIF Integration | Programs will be integrated between parallel 10YCP and UDOT TTIF Planning efforts |
| UTA Website | UTA will deploy public-facing process related materials and make the 10YCP accessible externally. |
| Planning Levels/Status | UTA will develop a table of each type of capital planning effort, the current status, location of relative materials, and current aspirations of those responsible for need identification. Direct links to current content will be provided. |
| Third-Party Expenditures | Third-party expenditures on the UTA Transit Network will be separately delineated and provided. |

Refinements to the 2024-2033 10YCP, or new initiatives for the 2026-2035 10CYP, may also include:

| Staffing Plan Actions | Relation to the 10YCP |
|---|---|
| TRAX Modernization Program | Develop initial scoping materials related to the TRAX Modernization Program. |
| Fixed Guideway Expansion Metrics Update | Update Enhanced Bus, BRT, LRT, FrontRunner metrics beyond cost and ridership. |
| Metric Weighting | Workshop weighting of Enhanced Bus, BRT, LRT, FrontRunner metrics. |
| Additional Grant Programs | Identify and analyze metrics to proactively prioritize specific grant pursuits beyond CIG and TTIF. |
| LRT/BRT Scope Identification | Reconcile LRT and BRT project scopes sufficient to provide representative cost estimates for the "unknown" projects and phasing of LRTP projects. |
| UTA Financial Model | Reconcile 10YCP with UTA financial models and evaluate investment scenarios, including: New revenue sources Allocating future corridor use costs to existing debt Limiting fixed guideway expansion projects to those that: Would reduce operating expenses Have new capital revenue sources Have new SGR capital revenue sources |
| Program Data Request Streamlining | Integrate and streamline 5YCP and 10YCP information requests. |
| Best Practice Deployment | Collaboratively identify opportunities to implement best practices within the various programs, including in cost estimating. Highlight resource allocation opportunities to support best practice implementation. |
| SGR/Expansion Messaging | Unify UTA communications relative to SGR needs and relative to system expansion needs. |
| External Partners | Formalize input from external partners that may make substantial project contributions (land, transit supportive zoning determinations, transit access improvements, supplemental service agreements, self-perform transit project delivery efforts) such that project prioritization can systematically account for variations in local support program-wide. |
| Peer Agencies | Re-engage with national peer transit agencies engaged in similar planning. |
| Predecessor Projects | As the work program is clarified in scope and funding dedication, projects should proceed in an order that ensures that any predecessor work is completed in advance of major capital projects. |

Programmatic improvements that would be captured in the 10YCP if they were to be implemented separately:

| Staffing Plan Actions | Relation to the 10YCP |
|--|--|
| Centralized Database: Unprogrammed Projects | Develop a project performance metrics database to track the evaluations and performance of the various iterations of evaluations. Create a cohesive project data governance strategy between the 5YCP, 10YCP, 5YSP, and the LRTP. Develop a diagram schematic of inputs and outputs from these planning efforts as well as program-specific planning efforts. |
| Centralized Database Improvements: Programmed Projects | Improve project funding source tracking Integrate project management expenditure projects with financial system in real time Reconcile past project costs such that they are publishable, consistent with federal reporting, and relevant to future project cost baselining. Develop a single source of top-line project information that has the proper access control to stay current, relevant and accurate, for broad use internally. Necessary information include: Project Team members that have financial responsibilities on the project: Project Manager Lead from program management team Project Controls Specialist Origet of with responsibility Director with responsibility Project construction stage Project construction stage Project environmental stage Expected type of expenditures (for example, construction, professional services, and property) Revenue sources Expenditures reconciled against revenue sources Contracted amounts and direct access to executed contracts Obligations that will remain following close-out of a project |
| Project/Portfolio/ Contingency Delineation | Follow applicable variable best practices relative to project, portfolio, or contingency management and approvals. This may include multi-tier project programming approvals for cases where it is best for certain expenditure approvals to be at a program portfolio level, while still tracking the independent discrete projects. Evolve contingency management such that contingency levels are baselined to active risks and pending mitigations. |
| Reactive vs Proactive | Evolve programming to a more proactive, long-term needs assessment in disciplines such as the following: bus lane rehabilitation, safety, LRT strategic operations improvements, SGR impact mitigation investments (300 West, Central Pointe to Ballpark), systems improvements, and non-rail transit systems. |

| Staffing Plan Actions | Relation to the 10YCP |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Strategic Capital Deployment | Proactively identify and promote capital investments that would reliably reduce operating expenses, while maintaining or improving transit service. |
| Cost Assumptions | Centralize infrastructure to ensure consistent cost estimating assumptions for capital, operations, maintenance and SGR; across planning and delivery efforts. |
| Programmatic Advancement | Formalize project expenditure approvals to be dynamically (yet consistently) adjusted based on project stage and work type. Planned expenditures would progress according to defined approval milestones. The goal of this would be to develop a process that builds in all expenditure approvals through advancement of project milestones such that annual budgetary approvals could be streamlined. At this point the majority of current 10YCP and 5YCP efforts would happen continuously. |
| Vision Networks | Establish Multi-Modal Vision Networks at appropriate future horizons (5 Year, 10 Year, 30 Year, etc.); develop an LRT Business Plan; create process for project-based evaluation of deviations and updates to vision networks |
| Workforce Management Plan | Create collective planning effort of all workforce-related resources that limit deployment of transit service. |
| Portfolio Managers | Develop and clarify portfolio manager level roles and responsibilities. |

Anticipated Timeline for 10YCP Updates

Current consensus within UTA is to update the 10YCP every two years. Options for consideration relative to this would be:

Delivery Strategies

In order to advance the improvements discussed and perform the necessary updates, 10YCP delivery strategy options include:

- Similar UTA Resource Allocation to 2024-2033 10YCP: Offsetting the focus of 10YCP plan work, alternating focus every two years as follows:
 - When a new version of the LRTP is updated, focus efforts on incorporating those projects.
 - When updates to the LRTP are limited to amendments, focus efforts on non-system expansion fixed guideway projects.
- Increase the 2024-2033 10YCP UTA Resource Allocation: Continue to concurrently advance system expansion fixed guideway projects and nonsystem expansion fixed guideway projects.

Timeframe Expansion

Expand the timeframe of the 10YCP such that the years expenditures being planned on remain future years at the time of completion of the effort. For this to occur, the 2026-2035 10CYP would likely need to track 13-14 years' worth of intended expenditure levels.

Update Frequency

Given the amount of time required to advance the wide swath of UTA capital programs, the frequency of updates could be reduced from 2 years to 4 years. A 4-year cycle for the 10YCP provides adequate time for capital projects to be completed and removed from project lists while accommodating the opportunity to refresh priorities as they align with UTA's LRTP. It is anticipated that UTA will revisit the LRTP priorities in 2026 so that any change in UTA's priorities can be reflected in the long-range planning scenarios that are shown to the public in advance of the 2027 Regional Transportation Plan updates. With a 4-year frequency, the next 10YCP can be completed in 2028, incorporating UTA's LRTP priorities as well as projects adopted in Phase 1 of the Wasatch Front Regional Council's (WFRC) and Mountainland Association of Governments' (MAG) Regional Transportation Plans.

Page is left intentionally blank.