#### **UTA Board of Trustees Meeting**

July 31, 2019



## Call to Order and Opening Remarks



## **Pledge of Allegiance**



### **Safety First Minute**



# The Close Call reported today, is the accident that does not happen tomorrow.

gs? Exclude overtime & shift allowances

kide details of any overtime or shift

e weekly overtime

lease provide payroll records covering the 12 months pri

July 2019

#### 7 INCIDENT DETAILS

What is the worker's injury/condition, and which part body are affected?

vorker injur



CN/A

livisio

wee

## Distracted Driving **KNOW THE FACTS**

each day

reported that they had read or sent 1% of drivers between the ages of 18-64

text messages or email messages while driving at least once within the 30 days before they were surveyed.

In 2010. crashes in which someone

was injured involved distracted driving.

. . . . . . . . in in in in in in in in 9 people are killed from

are injured each day from crashes involving distracted drivers.

>1,060 people

UTA

### **Public Comment Period**



#### **Public Comment Guidelines**

- Each comment will be limited to two minutes per citizen or five minutes per group representative
- No handouts allowed



## Approval of July 17, 2019 Board Meeting Minutes



## Recommended Action (by acclamation)

Motion to approve



### **Agency Report**



### **Financial Report – June 2019**



### June 2019 Dashboard

				Fav/					Fav/	
Financial Metrics			June Budget		%	YTD Actu		YTD Budget	(Unfav)	%
Sales Tax (April '19 mm		\$ 21.6	\$ 21.8	\$ (0.21)	-1.0%			<u>91.2</u>		-1.0%
Fare Revenue (mm)	~~~~	\$ 4.3	\$ 4.4	\$ (0.17)	-3.9%		26.5	~~~~~~		-0.7%
Operating Exp (mm)		\$ 20.7	\$ 23.6	\$ 2.90 🕻	12.3%	\$ 13		5 145.4	\$ 7.38 🔵	5.1%
Subsidy Per Rider (SPR	~~~	\$ 5.14	\$ 5.40	\$ 0.26 🕻	<b>4.8%</b>	\$ !	5.16	5.40	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	4.4%
UTA Diesel Price (\$/ga	l) <u></u>	\$ 1.86	\$ 2.50	\$ 0.64 🤇	25.7%	\$	2.03	\$ 2.50	\$ 0.47 🔵	18.7%
<b>Operating Metrics</b>	J	une Actual	Jun-18	F/ (UF)	%	YTD Actu	al	YTD 2018	F/ (UF)	%
Ridership (mm)		3.20	3.49	(0.3) 🄇	-8.4%	22	1.59	21.64	(0.1) 🥌	-0.2%
Alternative Fuels	C	NG Price (D	iesel Gal Equi∨)	\$ 1.80						
UTA Sales Tax Growth										
Percent				(20	$112 \pm 0$	2019)				
12%				(20		2013)				
10%										
8%										
6%		A		$\sim$					Y I	$\sim$
0,0			r		V	$\checkmark$				
4%	- V	$\sim h$				••				
2%			<u> </u>							·
0%										
Mar-12 Jul-12 Nov-12	-13	Jul-13 Nov-13	lar-14 Jul-14	-14	Jul-15 Nov-15	Mar-16 Jul-16	Nov-16	Mar-17 Jul-17 Nov-17	Mar-18 Jul-18 Nov-18	-19
Mov	Mar-13	Jul Nov	Mar-14 Jul-14	Nov-14 Mar-15	Jul VoV	Mar Jul	Nov	Mar-17 Jul-17 Nov-17	Mar-18 Jul-18 Nov-18	Mar-19
		Long	Term Fcst	<b>—</b> 1	2 Month	Chg -	<b>—</b> 6 №	lonth Chg		

### Sales Tax Collections

(2019 over 2018 for 12 months ended June 30)



## YTD 2019 Expense Thru June 30 Variance by Mode



📕 Bus 📕 Commuter Rail 📕 Light Rail 📕 Maint. Of Way 📕 Paratransit 📕 Operations Support 🔳 Administration

#### YTD 2019 Expense Thru June 30 Variance by Type



#### R2019-07-03

## **Resolution Adopting Board Policy 4.1 – Fares**



## Recommended Action (by roll call)

Motion to approve R2019-07-03:

Resolution Adopting Board Policy 4.1 – Fares



### R2019-07-04

## Resolution Approving the Midvalley Connector Bus Rapid Transit Project Locally Preferred Alternative



## Recommended Action (by roll call)

Motion to approve R2019-07-04:

Resolution Approving the Midvalley Connector Bus Rapid Transit Project Locally Preferred Alternative



### R2019-07-05

## Resolution Approving a Second Amendment of the Authority's 2019 Budget (Capital Projects)



## Recommended Action (by roll call)

Motion to approve R2019-07-05:

Resolution Approving a Second Amendment of the Authority's 2019 Budget (Capital Projects)



### R2019-07-06

## Resolution Approving a Third Amendment of the Authority's 2019 Budget (Operations Reallocation)



## Recommended Action (by roll call)

Motion to approve R2019-07-06:

Resolution Approving a Third Amendment of the Authority's 2019 Budget (Operations Reallocation)



### R2019-07-07

## **Resolution Revising the Authority's GRAMA Fee Schedule**



## Recommended Action (by roll call)

Motion to approve R2019-07-07:

Resolution Revising the Authority's GRAMA Fee Schedule



### R2019-07-08

## Resolution Approving the August 2019 Change Day Title VI Equity Analysis

#### **Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964**

Prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin in any program or activity that receives Federal funds or other Federal financial assistance



#### **UTA and Title VI**

- UTA is committed to avoiding unfair treatment and discrimination in the allocation and administration of public transit services
- UTA performs an analysis on any major change to measure and address impacts on protected populations
- Analyses are presented to, and approved by, UTA's Board of Trustees

#### **Title VI Equity Analysis**

- Many changes have been proposed in August, but only the 16 "major changes" require a Title VI analysis
- If protected populations are disproportionately effected by the change, UTA seeks to avoid, minimize, and mitigate the proposal
- All analyses are included in Title VI Program submitted to the FTA every three years



#### **Title VI Equity Analysis Parameters**

- UTA utilizes Census and ACS data
- Bus routes use ¼ mile radius from stops
- UTA analyzes populations that fall within the ¼ mile radius



 Maps are created for both minority populations and lowincome populations
Impacted population is compared to the system's population

#### **August 2019 Title VI Analysis**

- •A route level analysis was conducted on individual routes' demographics
  - Yielded potential adverse impacts, all of which were mitigated
- A system-wide analysis was conducted to measure the overall impact of proposed changes
  - Minimal impact on minority and low-income populations

#### <u>Route 811</u>







Proposed **Discontinuation** High percent of minorities in area Route 4 created Route 9 realigned, increased frequency New service follows a more direct, efficient route

#### **System-Wide Impact**

#### UTA measured the entire proposal's impact on the community to ensure there was no inequity

Change in System-Wide Geographic Access to Transit Service								
	<b>Minority Persons</b>	<b>Total Population</b>	Minority Percent					
Pre-August Change	35,915	130,605	27.5%					
August 2019 Proposal	35,490	107,350	33.1%					
Difference	-425	-23,255						
	Low-income Persons	Total Population	Low-income Percent					
Pre-August Change	36,116	124,448	29.0%					
August 2019 Proposal	32,549	102,067	31.9%					
Difference	-3,567	-22,381						

UTA

 Of those that lost access to transit services, the majority were not minority or low-income

#### **Conclusion**

•UTA did not identify any disparate impacts on minority populations or a disproportionate burden borne by low-income populations in the proposed changes for August Change Day




Motion to approve R2019-07-08:

Resolution Approving the August 2019 Change Day Title VI Equity Analysis



### R2019-07-09

## Resolution Authorizing Establishment of an Employer-Paid Defined Contribution 401a Retirement Plan



## **Establishment of 401 (a) Defined Contribution Plan Alternative Retirement Option**

- UTA's Advisory Council established a Defined Contribution plan as an alternative retirement option for UTA's Board of Trustees.
- This benefit option, if selected by the employee, is an alternative to the Defined Benefit pension plan. Participants cannot be enrolled in both plans.
- The Advisory Council established the plan with a 15.5% employer contribution rate after reviewing similar plans from other public agencies with average contribution rates ranging from 14.2% to 15.9%.
- UTA's Board of Trustees has extended the optional benefit to the executive positions within UTA to attract candidates to these positions that are generally more susceptible to at-will provisions of employment.

Motion to approve R2019-07-09:

Resolution Authorizing Establishment of an Employer-Paid Defined Contribution 401a Retirement Plan



### R2019-07-10

Resolution Approving the Execution of an Interlocal Agreement with the Utah Department of Transportation for Transfer of Tax Revenues to UTA



### **Overview**

- ILA with UDOT to transfer funds from the "quarter of the quarter" account (Salt Lake County) to UTA
- Approximate Value: \$2.5M annually (2018 value was \$2,491,076)
- Source: Local option sales tax
- Expiration Date: None
- Enabling Legislation: SB 128 (2018 General Session)



### Background

2008: ILA with SLC and UTA for Airport TRAX Line

- SLC funding of \$35M through \$2 of \$10 Salt Lake County vehicle registration fee
- Enabling Legislation: SB245 (2008 General Session)
- Approximate Annual Value: \$1.9M (2018 value was \$1,903,385)
  - Approximate payoff date for \$35M: 2036



### Background

#### SB 128 Relevant Facts:

- Moves all Salt Lake County vehicle registration fees back to County
- Establishes parameters for UDOT to transfer money from "quarter of the quarter" fund
  - UTA
  - Other transportation uses in Salt Lake County

#### Genesis for pending ILA between UDOT and UTA for the 20% of the §2214 funds

Enr	olled Copy S.B. 128
	TRANSPORTATION REVISIONS
	2018 GENERAL SESSION
	STATE OF UTAH
	Chief Sponsor: David G. Buxton
	House Sponsor: Kay J. Christofferson
LONG	TITLE
Gener	al Description:
	This bill amends provisions related to transportation funding and the authority to
constru	ct, encroach on, or access a state highway right-of-way.
Highli	ghted Provisions:
	This bill:
	<ul> <li>amends the distribution of the local option highway construction and transportation</li> </ul>
corrido	r preservation fee in a county of the first class;
	<ul> <li>requires a highway authority to get permission from the Department of</li> </ul>
Transp	ortation before any construction, encroachment, or access on a state highway
right-o	ſ-way;
	<ul> <li>provides construction standards for certain repairs;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>amends the distribution of revenue and repayment requirements in the County of the</li> </ul>
First C	ass Highway Projects Fund; and
	<ul> <li>makes technical changes.</li> </ul>
Money	Appropriated in this Bill:
	None
Other	Special Clauses:
	This bill provides a special effective date.
	This bill provides a coordination clause.
Utah (	Code Sections Affected:
AMEN	DS:
	41-1a-1222, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2017, Chapter 240

Motion to approve R2019-07-10:

Resolution Approving the Execution of an Interlocal Agreement with the Utah Department of Transportation for Transfer of Tax Revenues to UTA

### R2019-07-11

### Resolution Authorizing Execution of Lease-Purchase Agreements for Transit Vehicles



Motion to approve R2019-07-11:

Resolution Authorizing Execution of Lease-Purchase Agreements for Transit Vehicles



### Contracts, Disbursements, and Grants



### **Contract: Ogden-Weber BRT Design** (Jacobs Engineering)

- Description and purpose:
  - Final design of the Ogden-Weber bus rapid transit (BRT) project
- Total contract:
  - **\$6,693,519**



Motion to approve contract:

Ogden-Weber BRT Design (Jacobs Engineering)



### Change Order: TIGER Phase 2 Amendment 9 – GREENbike Expansion (Granite)

#### Description and purpose:

- Part of the Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) program of projects (includes 94 separate projects)
- This change order is for the construction of seven new GREENbike bike share stations in Salt Lake City and South Salt Lake

#### Total change order:

\$708,616

#### Total contract:

**\$8,212,726** 

**JTAH TRANSIT AUTHORITY** 

Motion to approve change order:

TIGER Phase 2 Amendment 9 – GREENbike Expansion (Granite)



### **Revenue Contract: Educational Pass Agreement** (Weber State University)

#### Description and purpose:

- Three-year education pass agreement with Weber State University providing 20,000 authorized users with a premium transit pass
- Total revenue contract:
  - **\$1,772,052**



Motion to approve revenue contract:

Educational Pass Agreement (Weber State University)



### **Disbursement: Light Rail Parts Inventory** (Siemens)

- Description and purpose:
  - Disbursement for payment on invoices generated as part of UTA's supply chain forecasted inventory strategy for light rail parts
- Total disbursement:
  - **\$239,457.10**



Motion to approve disbursement: Light Rail Parts Inventory (Siemens)



### Grant: Federal Transit Administration FY2019 Integrated Mobility Innovation (IMI) Development Grant

- Project:
  - Deploy a Phase 2 autonomous shuttle pilot project designed to advance lessons learned from the Phase 1 pilot
  - Three primary objectives:
    - Full integration into the UTA transit network
    - Deploy, operate, and test a no-operator/host shuttle
    - Project evaluation and community engagement
- Total cost:
  - **\$628,465**
- Grant request:
  - **\$502,465**
- Local match:
  - \$126,000



### **Discussion Items**



# **2020 Budget Transit Financial Plan, Budget Assumptions and Targets**

# July 2019 TFP: Summary

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Operating Revenue	\$453.2	\$490.9	\$521.7	\$541.6	\$562.9	\$585.6
Operating Expense	<u>(296.6)</u>	<u>(309.5)</u>	<u>(330.7)</u>	<u>(351.6)</u>	<u>(364.3)</u>	<u>(377.8)</u>
Net Operations	156.6	181.4	191.0	190.0	198.6	207.8
Debt Service	<u>(121.7)</u>	<u>(136.8)</u>	<u>(150.2)</u>	<u>(157.1)</u>	<u>(161.8)</u>	<u>(165.0)</u>
Net Available	34.9	44.6	40.8	32.9	36.8	42.8
Capital Revenue	94.6	156.7	88.0	62.7	51.6	59.5
Capital Expense	<u>(156.8)</u>	<u>(195.7)</u>	<u>(146.3)</u>	<u>(89.5)</u>	<u>(84.4)</u>	<u>(94.2)</u>
Net Change	(27.3)	5.6	(17.5)	6.1	4.0	8.1
January 1 Balance	237.4	210.1	215.7	198.1	106.2	110.4
Retire Debt Early	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>(98.0)</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
December 31 Balance	<u>\$210.1</u>	<u>\$215.7</u>	<u>\$198.1</u>	<u>\$106.2</u>	<u>\$110.4</u>	<u>118.4</u>

### July 2019 TFP: Reserves at Year End

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Restricted						
General Operating	\$36.5	\$37.7	\$40.3	\$42.8	\$44.3	\$46.0
Service Stabilization	9.1	9.4	10.1	10.7	11.1	11.5
Bond	38.6	38.6	38.6	38.6	38.6	38.6
Capital Replacement	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7
Debt Reduction	71.3	89.4	97.4	0.5	0.6	0.7
Total Restricted	166.2	185.8	197.1	103.3	105.3	107.5
Unrestricted	<u>43.9</u>	<u>29.9</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>10.9</u>
Ending Balance, Dec. 31	<u>\$210.1</u>	<u>\$215.7</u>	<u>\$198.2</u>	<u>\$106.3</u>	<u>\$110.3</u>	<u>\$118.4</u>

# Change in Operating Revenue from January 2019 TFP



## July 2019 TFP Operating Revenues (in millions)

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
January TFP	\$456.8	\$493.8	\$517.0	\$536.5	\$556.7	\$579.0
July TFP	453.2	490.9	521.7	541.6	562.9	585.6
Changes:						
Sales Tax	(4.3)	(4.4)	(4.7)	(4.9)	(5.2)	(5.4)
Utah County	2.2	7.1	7.6	8.0	8.5	9.0
Fed. Prev. Maint.	0.7	0.7	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.9
Passenger	0.0	(2.6)	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.7
Investment Inc.	(2.4)	(0.6)	(0.9)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.4)
Salt Lake City	(1.9)	(3.2)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	<u>2.1</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>0.8</u>
Totals	<u>\$(3.6)</u>	<u>\$(2.9)</u>	<u>\$4.7</u>	<u>\$5.1</u>	<u>\$6.2</u>	<u>\$6.6</u>

### Change in Assumptions from January 2019 TFP Operating Revenue

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Sales Tax – January TFP	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Sales Tax – July TFP	3.5%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Changes (in millions)	(\$4.3)	(\$4.4)	(\$4.7)	(\$4.9)	(\$5.2)	(\$5.4)
Utah County – January TFP	\$2.5	\$2.6	\$2.6	\$2.7	\$2.7	\$2.8
Utah County – July TFP	<u>4.7</u>	<u>9.7</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>10.7</u>	<u>11.2</u>	<u>11.8</u>
Changes (in millions)	<u>\$2.2</u>	<u>\$7.1</u>	<u>\$7.6</u>	<u>\$8.0</u>	<u>\$8.5</u>	<u>\$9.0</u>

### Change in Assumptions from January 2019 TFP Operating Revenue

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Prevent. Maint. – January TFP	5.0%	1.5%	3.3%	1.0%	4.1%	1.0%
Prevent. Maint. – July TFP	6.1%	1.5%	3.8%	1.0%	3.8%	1.0%
Changes (in millions)	\$0.7	\$0.7	\$1.1	\$1.1	\$0.9	\$0.9
Passenger – January TFP	1.4%	7.8%	(0.5%)	0.9%	1.4%	1.9%
Passenger – July TFP	1.4%	2.9%	6.6%	.4%	1.9%	2.4%
Changes (in millions)	\$0.0	(\$2.6)	\$1.3	\$1.0	\$1.4	\$1.7

### Change in Assumptions from January 2019 TFP Operating Revenue

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Interest Earnings – Jan. TFP	3.55%	4.15%	4.15%	4.15%	4.05%	3.85%
Interest Earnings – July TFP	3.05%	3.65%	3.65%	3.65%	3.55%	3.85%
Changes (in millions)	(\$2.4)	(\$0.6)	(\$0.9)	(\$0.4)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.4)
Other – Jan. TFP	\$6.4	\$6.6	\$6.5	\$6.6	\$5.8	\$5.9
Other – July TFP	<u>8.5</u>	<u>6.7</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>6.9</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>6.7</u>
Changes (in millions)	<u>\$2.1</u>	<u>\$0.1</u>	<u>\$0.3</u>	<u>\$0.3</u>	<u>\$0.8</u>	<u>\$0.8</u>

# Change in Operating Expense from January 2019 TFP



### July TFP Key Assumptions: Expense Growth Rate

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
January TFP		2.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%
July TFP		2.75%	3.00%	3.25%	3.25%	3.25%
January TFP	\$306.8	\$330.9	\$346.1	\$357.4	\$367.9	\$384.4
July TFP	296.5	309.5	330.7	351.6	364.3	377.8
Changes (in millions):						
Operations (with SLC)	(4.3)	(1.9)	2.5	4.3	7.0	4.5
SLCo Operations	<u>(6.0)</u>	<u>(19.5)</u>	<u>(17.9)</u>	<u>(10.1)</u>	<u>(10.6)</u>	<u>(11.1)</u>
Totals	<u>(\$10.3)</u>	<u>(\$21.4)</u>	<u>(\$15.4)</u>	<u>(\$5.8)</u>	<u>(\$3.6)</u>	<u>(\$6.6)</u>

# Change in Capital Expense from January 2019 TFP



January TFP July TFP

# Change in Capital Expense from January 2019 TFP

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
January 2019 TFP	\$133.0	\$131.1	\$58.5	\$73.2	\$54.7
July TFP	195.7	146.3	89.5	84.4	94.2
Changes:					
State of Good Repair	13.9	26.2	13.8	9.3	16.2
Vehicles	0.2	(15.9)	(0.3)	(5.0)	21.6
New Capital	23.2	(24.9)	(8.8)	6.9	1.7
Ogden/WSU BRT	12.0	50.0	25.3	0.0	0.0
Depot District	18.4	(25.8)	1.0	0.0	0.0
Airport TRAX Station	<u>(5.2)</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Total	<u>\$62.7</u>	<u>\$15.2</u>	<u>\$31.0</u>	<u>\$11.2</u>	<u>\$39.5</u>

# Funded and Unfunded Capital July TFP (in millions)

Year	Unfunded Capital	Unfunded State of Good Repair	Total Unfunded
2020	\$1.8	\$8.8	\$10.6
2021	6.9	22.9	29.8
2022	(0.3)	23.6	23.3
2023	1.4	10.6	12.0
2024	<u>46.5</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>57.1</u>
Total Unfunded	56.3	76.5	132.8
Funded Capital	<u>262.5</u>	<u>347.6</u>	<u>610.1</u>
Total five-year requests	<u>\$318.8</u>	<u>\$424.1</u>	<u>\$742.9</u>
Percentage Funded	<u>82.4%</u>	<u>81.9%</u>	<u>82.1%</u>

# Change in Capital Revenues from January 2019 TFP


## Change in Assumptions from January 2019 TFP Capital Revenues (in millions)

Source	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
January 2019 TFP	\$75.8	\$118.5	\$78.8	\$30.5	\$48.0	\$34.4
July TFP	94.6	156.7	88.0	62.7	51.7	59.5
Changes:						
Grants	12.4	(9.5)	13.2	13.6	1.7	(0.7)
Bonds/Leasing	0.0	36.1	(9.5)	16.0	(0.7)	25.8
Local Partners	6.0	16.9	4.3	2.6	2.7	0.0
Depot District	<u>.4</u>	<u>(5.3)</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Total	<u>\$18.8</u>	<u>\$38.2</u>	<u>\$9.2</u>	<u>\$32.2</u>	<u>\$3.7</u>	<u>\$25.1</u>

## Change in Debt Service from January 2019 TFP



## Change in Debt Service from January 2019 TFP

(in millions)

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
January TFP	\$119.6	\$129.3	\$141.4	\$149.1	\$157.0	\$160.4
July TFP	121.7	136.8	150.2	157.1	161.8	165.0
Changes:						
Bonds	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.8
Leases	0.1	0.4	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.4
Salt Lake City	(0.1)	(0.1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Utah County Payments	<u>2.1</u>	<u>7.1</u>	<u>7.6</u>	<u>7.0</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>3.4</u>
Total	<u>\$2.1</u>	<u>\$7.5</u>	<u>\$8.8</u>	<u>\$8.0</u>	<u>\$4.8</u>	<u>\$4.6</u>

# Change in Ending Balances from January 2019 TFP

(in millions)



## Change in Assumptions from January 2019 TFP Net Impacts to Ending Balances (in millions)

Source	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
January 2019 TFP	\$202.1	\$221.4	\$198.6	\$102.5	\$109.2	\$123.1
July TFP	210.1	215.7	198.2	106.3	110.3	118.4

## **Establishing Budget Targets**

(In millions)

	Amounts
2019 Budget	\$311.5
Budget Amendments	(1.3)
Salt Lake City – Full Year	0.6
Salt Lake County Adjustment	(5.2)
Additional Costs	<u>0.7</u>
New Base	306.3
Estimated Increases by Categories	<u>7.9</u>
2020 Budget Target	<u>\$314.2</u>

## 2020 Budget Targets Compared to 2019 Budget (in millions)

Department	2019 Budget	2020 Budget Target	Change	% Change
Bus	\$102.1	\$108.3	\$6.2	6.1
Salt Lake County Service	11.5	6.4	(5.1)	(44.4)
Salt Lake City Service	5.0	0.0	(5.0)	(100.0)
Light Rail	49.9	51.8	1.9	3.8
Commuter Rail	29.1	29.8	0.7	2.4
Paratransit	22.9	23.9	1.0	4.4
Rideshare	3.2	3.3	0.1	3.1
Operations Support	48.1	49.2	1.1	2.2
Administration & Non-operating	<u>39.7</u>	<u>41.5</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>4.5</u>
Total	<u>\$311.5</u>	<u>\$314.2</u>	<u>\$2.7</u>	<u>0.9</u>

## Next Steps

- Incorporate assumption changes, if any, into TFP
- If necessary, update 2020 budget targets
- Staff completes budget work by late August
- Board budget reviews in September
- Changes, if any, added into 2020 Tentative Budget
- Tentative Budget to Board in October

#### **UTA Open Data Portal**



## **Examples of Open Data Portals**

υ т д 🚔

## **DATA**.GOV











#### Salt Lake City GIS Open Data

 Gathered feedback both internally and externally

## Purpose of UTA Open Data Portal

υ τ Α 🚔

- Transparency
- Accountability
- Citizen/community/stakeholders engagement
- Make informed decisions in public/private sectors
- Create a central data hub for public use
- Efficient management of regular transit data requests

# First Step in Launching UTA Open Data Portal



Utah Transit Authority Open Data Portal

#### Utah Transit Authority Data Portal

Data is available to view or download. To get started, click on the magnifying glass in the search bar, type in a search term or click on icons below.

Q Click to Search



UTA Service Data



Ridership Dashboard



Q

Δ

Jonathan 🕶

#### More Information

- UTA Homepage
- Request UTA Record
- Utah AGRC

If unable to find a dataset or record, please contact grama@rideuta.com For general questions or feedback, please contact opendata@rideuta.com

Disclaimer - Updated June 2019 - Copyright 2019. Utah Transit Authority



## **UTA Open Data Portal**

- UTA service data
  - Detail datasets
    - UTA routes and most recent ridership
    - Monthly UTA ridership
    - UTA stops
- 2. Ridership dashboard
  - One view about UTA ridership
    - Year-over-year ridership comparison
    - Ridership trends by mode
    - Number of routes UTA serves by county Ridership = number of riders boarding UTA service on an average weekday
- 3. UTA webmap application
  - Map based visualization tool
  - Route level ridership, stops datasets









– How can community stakeholders find out about routes and service in their community?



## How Can Community Stakeholders Find out About Routes and Service in Their Community?





– How can community stakeholders find out about routes and service in their community?

– How to find ridership numbers?

## **Finding Ridership Numbers**

💳 //// U T A 😂

-



Average Weekday Ridership Data Table | Ridership Dashboard Definitions

89

# Possible Use Cases

- How can community stakeholders find out about routes and service in their community?
- How to find ridership numbers?
- How to view service in a geographic area?



## How to View Service in a Geographic Area?

📕 //// UTA 🚔



## **Possible Future Datasets**

υ τ Α 🚔

- Route performance
- More granular ridership data
- Customer experience metrics

 Depending on GIS/analytical staff capacity to create and support future datasets and portal functionalities

#### **GREENbike Program**



**JTAH TRANSIT AUTHORIT** 

93

υ т д 🖨



#### **UTA BOARD OF DIRECTORS PRESENTATION: 7.31.19**

- Who We Are + Recent Stats
- History of GREENbike/UTA Relationship
- NACTO Shared Micro Mobility Data
- Products, Partnerships & Expansion Plans
- UTA Benefits from GREENbike Partnership



## Who We Are & Recent Stats

## GREENbike is a 501(c)3 non-profit

Chair, Matt Sibul, Dir. Government Relations, UTA Vice-Chair, Amanda Smith, Attorney, S-Power Jory Johner, Long Range Planning Manager, WFRC Jackie Biskupski, Mayor, Salt Lake City Jon Larsen, SLC Transportation Division Director Megan Hillyard, Dir. Admin. Services, Salt Lake County Mayor's Office Nathan Lee, Program Development Director, UDOT Scott Beck, CEO & President, Visit Salt Lake (CVB) DJ Baxter, Consultant, Shiels-Obletz-Johnson Greg Reid, Public Relations Director, SelectHealth Derek Allan, CEO, Land Forge Development



NEWS GOOD DAY UTAH

COMMUNITY

THE

EVENTS DF

## SLC's GREENbike marks big milestone



## **Reaffirming Non-Profit Brand: Themes**

Active

Community

• Transit

Safety

• Reliable

Affordable



## **Recent Statistics**

- Averaged 2 rides per bike per day for 6 years
- Sold more passes in 2018 than in 2017
- Each station averages 8,000 checkouts/returns a year
- Less than 1% have ever rated our maintenance as poor



## **Annual Survey Results**

- 78% cited "connections to other public transit options" as an important factor in their decision to use GREENbike.
- 79% took fewer car trips in addition to their GREENbike trips.
- 14% have reduced the number of cars in their household because of GREENbike.





### We Aren't Just Concerned With GREENbike!

Each year, GREENbike gives more than \$10k in free or discounted passes to dozens & dozens of community-centric or non-profit groups.





## History of GREENbike/UTA Relationship

#### 2012: GREENbike/UTA Partnership Request

- Key Language:

"We created GREENbike to be Utah's Bike Share brand and function to increase transit ridership across the region."

"We want U.T.A. to be our partner and to join our Board of Directors to help us guide the Bike Share program.

"This is a new transit program and our Transit Authority should be involved."

### 2013: Strategic Partnership Agreement

Key Commitments:

- UTA will be a founding strategic partner.
- UTA will help GREENbike grow in the future.
- UTA agrees to make the expansion and success of the program an organizational priority.
- UTA and GREENbike will pursue funding together to reach the goal of at least 100 bike share stations.

### 2014: Strategic Implementation Plan

#### Groups Involved: SLC Transportation, UTA, UDOT, WFRC, Salt Lake County, Visit Salt Lake, Bike Utah + other private sector organizations



National Park Service Rivers, Trails, & Conservation Assistance

#### 6. The Case for GREENbike

GREENbike has already proven to be a great asset for Salt Lake City and has the potential to improve and transform the city. Expansion of the program will result in a number of positive outcomes that will help Salt Lake City achieve its goal of becoming an innovative, livable, and sustainable city. This section describes the ways in which a more robust blue share system will benefit the city and work in harmony with other efforts to improve the livability of Salt Lake.

#### 6.1 Transportation

The GREENbike program grew out of a desire to create an active transportation alternative to single occupant automobile trips, to enhance public transport, and increase transit options. Salt Lake City recognized the need for a subtainable transportation system that provides residents with safe, affordable, and efficient options to get acound, and GREENbike has become a key component of that system. In particular, placing GREENbike stations at or near transit stations can help promote healthy commuting behaviors, reduce parking demands, and positively impact air quality.

Providing transportation alternatives is crucial as the Wastch Front continues to grow. Salt Lake County, which has the highest number of vehicle miles traveled (VAIT) of any county in the state, has seen a dramatic increase from 4.9 billion VMT in 1988 to to 8.7 billion VMT in 2012  $^{\circo}$ . The current system is dependent on the automobile, which leads to traffic congestion, wasteful energy consumption, and an increase in air pollution. It's expensive too – average vehicle driving costs are close to \$10,000 a year for maintenance, insurance, and fuel, according to AAA's 2013 *Your Driving Castr seport*  $^{\circ}$ . That makes transportation the second largest expenses for most households.

#### 6.1.1. Utah Transit Authority

Bike share allows users to leave the car behind for short trips around town – or to leave it behind altogether by making it easies to connect to public transportation. The Utah Transit Authority (UTA) serves Salt Lake City and the Wasatch Front with an extensive system of commuter rail lines (FrontRunner), light rail lines (TRAX) and buses. GREENbike works as a complement to UTA's public transit system, extending the reach of the system by providing a critical first-malle/last-mile connection between the transit stop and the user's destination. It is often the first- or last-mile connection that can be the most difficult to overcome, and removing those obstacles by co-locating GREENbike stations at transit stops makes using transit more attractive and convenient. Compared to other transportation projects, bike share is Table 1: Number of boardings at TRAX stations in the bike share service area (August 2013-January 2014). Source: UTA

Station:	Average Boardings / Weekday		
Courthouse	7,140		
City Center	4,010		
Arena	2,826		
Gallivan Plaza	2,294		
Library	1,659		
Salt Lake Central	1,411		
North Temple Bridge/Guadalupe	1,374		
Temple Square	1,330		
900 East	1,299		
Trolley	1,200		
900 South	1,141		
Planetarium	1,087		
Old GreekTown	723		
Jackson/Euclid	573		

Table 2: Comparison of costs. Source: UTA, GREENbike

UTA Transportation Costs per Mile				
Capital Costs				
Commuter Rail	\$17.7 million/mile			
Light Rail	\$52.8 million/mile			
Streetcar	\$37.94 million/mile			
BRT Exclusive Lane/BRT III \$16.4 million/mile				
Enhanced Bus/BRT I	\$3.4 million/mile			
Operating Costs				
Commuter Rail	\$9.92/mile			
Light Rail	\$7.07/mile			
Motor bus	\$6.65/mile			
GREENbike Costs				
Capital Cost per Station	\$65,000			
Operating Cost per Station	\$25,000/year			

#### 2015: Regionalization Governance Study

Stakeholder Group: Salt Lake Chamber, Ogden, Provo, MAG, UTA, UDOT, WFRC, Salt Lake City, Bike Utah, Downtown Alliance & Salt Lake County

Recommendation: UTA becomes the GREENbike equipment owner with GREENbike as a contracted private operator.



**Regionalization Governance Study** GREENbike - Salt Lake City

# Shared Micromobility in the U.S.: 2018 Report

Released in May 2019 by the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO)




In 2018... There were 49% more scooters on the ground than station-based bike share bikes.

- 85,000 scooters took 38.5M rides
- 57,000 station-based bike share bikes took 36.5M rides



Why people are riding depends on what they're riding.





#### CONCLUSION: SCOOTERS = PRIMARILY RECREATION BIKE SHARE = PRIMARILY COMMUTING

"Data suggests that e-scooter share use more closely mirrors social, shopping and other recreational use."

"Scooter use is highest on weekends. In contrast, data from station-based bike shares show ridership peaking at rush hours during the week."

## Products & Partnerships & Expansions

## **Affordable Housing**



- 1. Artspace
- Rubber Company
  - Bridges Project
  - Solar Gardens
- 2. Giv Communities
- 3. Ecobox
- 4. Palmer Court



## **Equity Pass: September 2019**



\$5 for 1-Year

**Cash Option** 

**No Credit Card** 

**No Cell Phone** 



# New Products



### **12-Hour Rides for Annual Members:** August/September 2019





### **E-Bikes:** August 13, 2019



# **Partnerships**



## **Other Recent Partnerships**

- UTA Hive Pass
- Dominion Energy
- LDS Church
- Lyft



- Private Developers:
  - CW Urban - EcoBox -PEG Dev.

  - Clearwater Giv Communites

# **2019 Expansion** 70% Expansion Including:

- Affordable Housing
- Workforce Housing
- Market Rate Apartments
- TRAX Stops

- Liberty Park
- 9<sup>th</sup> & 9<sup>th</sup>
- Salt Lake County Offices
- North Temple Corridor
- + Expansions at multiple UTA Trax locations

Other 2019 Expansions

- South Salt Lake City Station
  - Ogden LOI, MOU & Operating Agreement

# 2020 Expansion

- 1300S 200W (TRAX, Housing & Ball Park)
- 500N 300W (Housing & Library)
- 50S 600W (Housing)
- 50E 700S (Housing & Restaurants)

## **UTA Benefits**

- Free GREENbike for all UTA employees and their significant others (\$375k value per year)
- Branding/messaging on 3 GREENbike station faces/ad panels + website and app (\$29k value per year)
- GREENbike includes language about UTA's support in all press releases and typically host one press conference per year with UTA leadership to illustrate shared organizational goals and UTA's community involvement.



#### **GREENbike Station Ad Panel & Map Recognition**





#### **GREENbike Website Recognition**



#### **GREENbike App Recognition**





### TRANSIT BENEFITS OF GREEN BIKE

🛛 / / / / U T A 🚔

- Increases transit ridership by serving as first/last mile connector
- Reduces need to bring bikes on buses or trains
- Reduces traffic congestion
- Encourages a more active lifestyle



### STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

🛙 / / / / U T A 😂

- Signed in January 2013
- UTA agreed to:
  - an initial contribution of \$50,000
  - help Bike Share grow in the future
  - make the expansion and success of the Bike Share Program an Organizational Priority
- Bike Share agreed to:
  - Share Bike Share trip data
  - Provide UTA a seat on Board of Directors
  - Include UTA link and logo on website and promotional materials



//// UTA 🚔

- Ongoing station sponsorships
- Ride share van for bike maintenance
- Concrete pads at FLHQ and several other locations
- Included in TIGER first/last mile program
- Possible lease of warehouse space for bike maintenance
- Currently developing a new agreement for future commitments going forward

### **Other Business**

a. Next meeting: August 7, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.



### **Closed Session**

a. Strategy session to discuss collective bargaining



### **Closed Session**



### **Open Session**



### Adjourn

